SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP 94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743 WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

Westchester office

February 20, 2019

Honorable Chairperson Loretta Taylor and Members of the Planning Board Town of Cortlandt 1 Heady Street Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567

#### RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless installation of a public utility personal wireless facility at the property known as 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, NY

Hon. Chairperson Taylor and Members of the Planning Board:

We are the attorneys for New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a ("Verizon Wireless") in connection with its request for a special permit to install a proposed public utility personal wireless facility ("Facility") at the above referenced property ("Property"). The Property consists of over 6 acres and is used for non-residential purposes. The Town of Cortlandt ("Town") permits personal wireless facilities, such as the Facility, on the Property by special permit from the Town of Cortlandt Planning Board, in accordance with Chapter 277 of the Town of Cortlandt Code ("Town Code").

The Facility consists of a telecommunications tower with small panel antennas, together with equipment in an approximately 1,600 square foot fenced compound. The Facility has been strategically located on a wooded portion of the 6 acre Property. The Facility will enable Verizon Wireless to remedy a significant gap in coverage in order for Verizon Wireless to furnish reliable wireless communications, including wireless 911 to the area. Verizon Wireless is licensed by the Federal Communications Commission to provide wireless communication services throughout the New York metropolitan area, including the Town of Cortlandt.

NEW YORK OFFICE 445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

In furtherance of the foregoing, Verizon Wireless is pleased to submit twelve (12) copies of the following materials:

- 1. Planning Board Application for a special permit for the Facility with attached letter of authorization;
- 2. Memorandum in Support of Application with completed environmental assessment form; and
- 3. Site Plan.

In addition, please note that the Verizon Wireless' special permit application has been forwarded to all adjacent municipalities and the Westchester County Planning Board in accordance with Section 277-6(U) of the Zoning Code. Copies of the letters to the adjacent municipalities and a copy of the letter to the Westchester County Planning Board have been included herewith.

We thank you for your consideration and look forward to discussing this matter at the next Planning Board meeting. If you have any questions, please call me or Mike Sheridan of my office at (914) 333-0700.

Enclosures LJS:erw cc: Verizon Wireless Z:\ssdata\wpdata\ss4\wp\inewbannimaybeck\cortLandtzoning\Ljspb cover letter.ms rev.fin.docx

# TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING BOARD APPLICATION

CHI	CK APPROVAL (S) REOUESTED			For Official Use Only:	
<del>- 1, 1, 1</del>	Lot Line Adjustment Preliminary Subdivision		PB No.		
	Conventional		Date R	eccived	
<u> </u>	Cluster-open space Final Subdivision		÷		
<del></del>	Site Development Plan		Fee Pai		
	Site Development Plan Amendment				
<del></del>	Special Pennit Wetlands Pennit				
	Steep Slopes Permit				
	•				
1.	Name of Proposed Development Personal	Wireless Services Fi	acilities at 52 Montros	e Station	
_					
2.	Name of Applicant New York SMSA Limite	ea Parmership diora	A CLISCUL MILCHESE	Phone (914) 333-07	
	Address_ c/o Snyder & Snyder, LLP, 94 White		town, New York 1059	)]	
	Street No. & Name To	wn	State	Zip	
З.	Owner of Record Bezo Enterprises, LLC			Phorie	
	Address 52 Montrose Station Road, Cortland	4 Mainen Mary Voor	10527		
	Street No. & Name	Town	State	Zíp	
			• • • •	-	
4.	Engineer/Architect Scherer Design Group			Phone (908) 323-25	
	Address 53 Frontage Road, Suite 260, Hampt	on, New Jersey, 085			
	Street No. & Name	Точи	State	Zip	
5:	Land Surveyor N/A			Phone	
	Address				
	Street No. & Name	Town	State	Zip	
6.	Attorncy Leslie J. Snyder			Phone (914) 333-070	0
	Address Snyder & Snyder, LLP, 94 White Plain				
	Street No. & Name	Town	State	Zip	
7.	Site Location: On the South	side of	Nontrose Station Roa	d	
	(direction)		(street)		
	+/- 1.570 feet East	of Maple Ave	nuė		
	(direction)		(street)		
8.	Tax lot designation: Section: 44.07	Block	Lot(s)	4	
•					
9.	Total Area; +/- 1,600 SF No. of Lots 1 + Extension to access drive	Sq. Ft. of	~		
	Zoning Dist. R-40 Proposed Use Ser	vices Facility No.	Of Parking Spaces_	N/A	
10.	If this application is for a cluster-open space s	ubdivision aive da	ite and		
	Resolution number of Town Board authorized			Res. #	
PLEAS	E CHECK APPROPRIATE SPACE: 1 co	and the state of the second	nation of the CA date	The left and a state of the sta	
instructi		YES	NOX (At this time	)	v period. Sec
CONFU	SAAPDAN'AY TO AVING DATES			-	
CONT	RMATION ALL TAXES PAID:	ceiver of Taxes		Date:	- 2
STATE	OF NEW YORK; )				
TOWN	Y OF WESTCHESTER: ) SS DF CORTLANDT )				
Casha Szekely on beinif of New York SMSA Limited Partnership					
	arizon Wireless hereby depose and say are true. New York SMSA-Ljinited Partgership d	that all the above	statements and the s	tatements contained in the pa	pers submitted
	By:				
Mailing A	Address SWORN	to before me this	and	<b></b>	
94 White	Plains Road	ay of Februa	1, 2018 A		RESA GARCIA blic, State of New York
Terrytow	a New York 10591 NOTARY	PUBLIC	unafor	- Registra	tion #01GA6350390
				Qualifier	In Reckland County

Commission Expires Nov. 7, 2020

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

#### PLEASE READ

1. Attach hereto a copy of the duly filed deed indicating the current ownership of the subject property. <u>EVENT OF</u> <u>CORPORATION OWNERSHIP</u>: A list of all directors, officers and major stockholders of each corporation must be attached. If applicant is a contract vendee, then attach a copy of the duly executed contract of sale.

2. If the applicant is a tenant submit a copy of the lease agreement and have the owner of the property sign and have notarized the statement below.

3. The applicant shall submit a list of the names and addresses of all adjoining property owners and of those property owners directly across all road frontages adjoining the proposed development. The list shall also contain the Town of Cordandt current Tap Map Section, Block and Lot designation for each parcel.

4. NYS Town Law obligates the Planning Board to conduct a Public Hearing with respect to a preliminary or final subdivision plat, conforming to local requirements, within 62 days following receipt of same by the Planning Board Clerk. Likewise, NYS Town Law requires the Planning Board to approve, disapprove or approve with modifications, a proposed site plan within 62 days after same has been filed with the Planning Board or, if a Public Hearing was held on the plan within 62 days after such hearing. Due to the complexity of many proposals and the numerous technical and procedural requirements of all the applicable laws and regulations, many applications may be found to be incomplete or deficient and, therefore, not ready for Public Hearing nor Planning Board approval. Thus a determination based upon all pertinent facts and circumstances cannot always be rendered by the Planning Board within the 62 days. To do so might result in a disapproval when, with further information or changes, and SEQR complicance, approval with modifications might otherwise occur. In such cases, Planning Board staff will notify the applicant of missing or incomplete items as soon as possible.

In light of the above, you are asked to indicate, by checking the appropriate box on the front page of this application, whether you consent to the extension of the 62 day public hearing period or review period, for so long as may reasonably be necessary to generate a submission which is complete and in conformity with all pertinent requirements. You may revoke your consent at any time by communicating that fact in writing to the Clerk of the Planning Board whereupon your plat, or site plan or special permit proposal will be scheduled for the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Planning Board.

5. For procedures, design standards, specifications for drawings and other information see the following chapters in the Town of Cortlandt Code; for subdivisions Chapter 265, for site development plans, zoning and special permits Chapter 307, for wetland permits Chapter 179 and for steep slope permits Chapter 259.

6. Please be advised that the site development plan, subdivision or special permit process often involves engineering, legal and other professional skills. While Planning Board staff will provide guidance and assistance within reasonable limits, the responsibility for the proper presentation of your proposed development plan, is upon you and your professional advisors, and not upon the Planning Board itself or staff.

7. The applicant shall also submit the following: a completed environmental assessment form as required by SEQR for the proposed project; 2 copies of a recent survey, 14 sets of FOLDED plans, an electronic copy of all plans on a CD in .jpg, .tif or .gif format, a colored map of the project showing wellands and steep slopes pursuant to the attached guideline; a check to the Town of Cortlandt for the application fee as indicated below.

8. The applicant shall be responsible for posting and removing a sign on their property concerning their application as required by the Planning Board and provided by the Planning Division.

FEE SCHEDULE	
LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT	\$300
SUBDIVISION	Preliminary (major) \$750 + \$750 for each building lot
	-Preliminary (minor) \$500 + \$500 for each building lot
	Final approval - \$1000
SITE PLAN	\$150 for each 1000 sq. ft. of proposed floor areas + \$5 for each proposed parking space. Minimum \$500
SITE PLAN AMENDMENT	Same as above, minimum fee \$250.
SPECIAL PERMIT	\$500
STATE OF NEW YORK;	) )
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER:	) SS
TOWN OF CORTLANDT	) ·
*PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LET	TER OF AUTHORIZATION
I	nderstand that as the owner of the subject property I authorize the tenant to make this
application and I also understand	that I as well as the tenant will be bound with the Planning Boards' Resolution of
approval including all conditions of	
Mailing Address	SWORN to before me this
	day of, 200

NOTARY PUBLIC

#### LETTER OF AUTHORIZATION

Municipality: Town of Cortlandt

#### APPLICATION FOR APPROVALS

BEZO ENTERPRISES, LLC, the owner of the property located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Cortlandt Manor, New York (the "Property"), does hereby appoint New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless"), and its authorized representatives, as the owner's agent for the purpose of consummating any applications necessary to insure Verizon Wireless' ability to use the Property for the purpose of installing a communications facility on the Property, consisting of antennas and related equipment.

Assessor's Parcel Number: Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4

Signature of Property Owner: BEZO ENTERPRISES, LLC By: AURA LABRIOLA Title: Duner Dated:

Sworn to and subscribed to before me on this  $\frac{\partial B^{\mu}}{\partial t}$  day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

To f Eng

Signature of Notary TAMI J. ESCOBAR Notary Public, State of New York No. 01ES6067372 Qualified in Putnam County Commission Expires Dec. 10, 20/\_7

Authorized Agent: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless

SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP 94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD

TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

DAVID L. SNYDER (1956-2012)

NEW YORK OFFICE

FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER

ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

(212) 749-1448

445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Mr. Kevin Hay Village Clerk Village of Buchanan 236 Tate Avenue Buchanan, NY 10511

RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Mr. Hay:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless. ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures

cc: Town of Cortlandt

Verizon Wireless

Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\Buchanan.Docx

SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

NEW YORK OFFICE

FAX (212) 932-2693

LESUE J. SNYDER

DAVID L. SNYDER

(1956-2012)

ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

(212) 749-1448

445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022

Mayor Andre K. Rainey City Mayor City of Peekskill 840 Main Street Peekskill, NY 10566

> New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the RE: installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Honorable Mayor Rainey:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures Town of Cortlandt cc: Verizon Wireless

Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\City Of Peekskill.Docx

SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Municipal Referrals Westchester County Planning Department 148 Martine Avenue, Room 432 White Plains, NY 10601 Attn: Lukas Herbert

> New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the RE: installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Mr. Herbert:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures

cc: Town of Cortlandt

Verizon Wireless

Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\County.LET.Docx

NEW YORK OFFICE 445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

#### SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

**BY CERTIFIED MAIL** 

Ms. Pauline DiSanto Village Clerk Village of Croton-On-Hudson 1 Van Wyck Street Croton-On-Hudson, NY 10520

RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Ms. DiSanto:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures cc: Town of Cortlandt Verizon Wireless Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\Croton.Docx

NEW YORK OFFICE 445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Ms. Regina Taylor Village Clerk Village of Highland Falls 303 Main Street Highland Falls, NY 10928

RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Ms. Taylor:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures

cc: Town of Cortlandt

Verizon Wireless

Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\Highland Falls.Docx

NEW YORK OFFICE 445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

Snyder & Snyder, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> wRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

**BY CERTIFIED MAIL** 

NEW YORK OFFICE

FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER

DAVID L. SNYDER (1956-2012)

ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

(212) 749-1448

445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022

> Ms. June Patterson Town Clerk Town of Highlands 254 Main Street Highland Falls, NY 10928

> > RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Ms. Patterson:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures cc: Town of Cortlandt Verizon Wireless Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\Highland.Docx

#### Snyder & Snyder, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

**REPLY TO:** 

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Ms. Christina Papes Town Clerk Town of New Castle 200 South Greeley Avenue Chappaqua, NY 10514

RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road. Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Ms. Papes:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures cc: Town of Cortlandt Verizon Wireless Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\New Castle.Docx

NEW YORK OFFICE 445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

Snyder & Snyder, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> wRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

NEW YORK OFFICE 445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

DAVID L. SNYDER (1956-2012)

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Ms. Mary Ann Roberts Town Clerk Town of Ossining 16 Croton Avenue Ossining, NY 10562

RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Ms. Roberts:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures cc: Town of Cortlandt Verizon Wireless Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\Ossining.Docx

SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

**BY CERTIFIED MAIL** 

Ms. Tina M. Merando Town Clerk Town of Philipstown 238 Main Street Cold Spring, NY 10516

> RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Ms. Merando:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures cc: Town of Cortlandt Verizon Wireless

NEW YORK OFFICE 445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

#### SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

NEW YORK OFFICE 445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

DAVID L. SNYDER (1956-2012)

February 20, 2019

#### **BY CERTIFIED MAIL**

Ms. Sherry Howard Town Clerk Town of Putnam Valley 265 Oscawana Lake Road Putnam Valley, NY 10579

RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Ms. Howard:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures cc: Town of Cortlandt Verizon Wireless Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\Putnam Valley.Docx

#### Snyder & Snyder, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

NEW YORK OFFICE

DAVID L. SNYDER (1956-2012)

## BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Ms. Joan Skinner Town Clerk Town of Stony Point 74 East Main Street Stony Point, NY 10980

RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Ms. Skinner:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures cc: Town of Cortlandt

Verizon Wireless

Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\Stony Point.Docx

#### SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP

94 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NEW YORK 10591 (914) 333-0700 FAX (914) 333-0743

> WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS Lsnyder@snyderlaw.net

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER, SUITE 2600 NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07102 (973) 824-9772 FAX (973) 824-9774

REPLY TO:

Tarrytown Office

February 20, 2019

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Ms. Diana L. Quast Town Clerk Town of Yorktown 363 Underhill Avenue Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

RE: New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for the installation of a personal public utility wireless service facility ("Facility") at the property known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Tax Map located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York

Dear Ms. Quast:

Pursuant to Section 277-6(U) of the Town of Cortlandt Zoning Ordinance, I have enclosed a copy of the applications submitted by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") to the Town of Cortlandt in connection with the captioned matter. Kindly note that the Facility is not within your municipality and no action is required on your part.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (914) 333-0700.

Very truly yours,

Leslie J. Snyder

Enclosures

cc: Town of Cortlandt

Verizon Wireless

Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\Adjacent Municipalities Letter\Yorktown.Docx

NEW YORK OFFICE 445 PARK AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 749-1448 FAX (212) 932-2693

LESLIE J. SNYDER ROBERT D. GAUDIOSO

#### PLANNING BOARD TOWN OF CORTLANDT

-----Х

#### In the matter of the Application of NEW YORK SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS

Premises:	Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4
	52 Montrose Station Road
	Town of Cortlandt, NY
	X

#### STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF NEW YORK SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS' APPLICATION TO INSTALL A PUBLIC UTILITY PERSONAL WIRELESS FACILITY

#### I. Introduction

New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") respectfully submits this statement in support of its special permit application to install a public utility personal wireless facility ("Facility") at 52 Montrose Station Road, Cortlandt, New York ("Property"). The Town of Cortlandt ("Town") permits personal wireless facilities, such as the Facility, on the Property by special permit from the Town of Cortlandt Planning Board, in accordance with Chapter 277 of the Town of Cortlandt Code ("Town Code").

The Property is known as Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 on the Town Tax Assessment Map and located in the R-40 zoning district. The Property is utilized for non-residential purposes as a horse riding stable. The Property consists of over 6 acres, more than 6 times the minimum lot size for the zoning district.

The Facility has been strategically designed and located on a wooded portion of the large Property. The Facility consists of a telecommunications tower with small panel antennas ("Tower"), together with equipment in an approximately 1,600 square foot fenced compound ("Compound") on the 6 acre wooded Property. The Facility will be able to accommodate collocation for additional carriers in accordance with the Town Code. The Facility will enhance Verizon Wireless' wireless communication services to the area, including emergency wireless 911. A detailed signed and sealed site plan ("Site Plan"), prepared by Scherer Design Group, LLC Engineering ("SDG") is submitted herewith.

#### Public Utility Status

Under the laws of the State of New York, Verizon Wireless qualifies as a public utility for zoning purposes. <u>See Cellular One v. Rosenberg</u>, 82 N.Y.2d 364 (1993); <u>Cellular One v. Meyer</u>, 607 N.Y.S.2d 81 (2nd Dept. 1994); <u>Sprint Spectrum</u>, L.P. v. Town of West Seneca, (Index No. 1996/9106, Feb. 25, 1997, Sup.Ct. Erie County). In <u>Rosenberg</u>, the Court of Appeals, New York's highest court, held that federally licensed wireless carriers (such as Verizon Wireless) provide an essential public service and are therefore public utilities in the State of New York. Public utilities are accorded favored treatment in zoning matters. Verizon Wireless' status as a public utility is underscored by the fact that its services are an important part of the national telecommunications infrastructure and will be offered to all persons that require advanced digital wireless communications services, including local businesses, public safety entities, and the general public.

The instant application is filed in furtherance of the goals and objectives established by Congress under the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. The federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 is "an unusually important legislative enactment," establishing national public policy in favor of encouraging "*rapid deployment of new telecommunications technologies* (emphasis supplied)." <u>Reno v. ACLU</u>, 521 U.S. 844, 857 (1997). The federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 builds upon the regulatory framework for commercial mobile [radio] services which Congress established in 1993. Indeed, since 1993, it has been the policy of the United States to "foster the growth and development of *mobile services* that, by their nature, *operate without regard to state lines as an integral part of the national telecommunications infrastructure.*" H.R. Rep. No. 103-111, 103d Cong., 1st Sess. 260 (1993) (emphasis added).

In fact, in 1999, Congress expanded further upon this policy by enacting the Wireless Communications and Public Safety Act of 1999, Pub.L. 106-81, 113 Stat. 1286 (the "911 Act"). The "911 Act," empowered the FCC to develop regulations to make wireless 911 services available to all Americans. The express purpose of the Act, as articulated by Congress, was "to encourage and facilitate the prompt deployment throughout the United States of seamless, ubiquitous, and reliable end-to-end infrastructure for communications, including wireless communications, to meet the Nation's public safety and other communications needs" (emphasis added).

On November 18, 2009, the FCC issued a Declaratory Ruling regarding the timely review of applications for siting of wireless facilities, WT Docket NO. 08-165 ("Shot Clock Order").<sup>1</sup> The Shot Clock Order finds that a "reasonable period of time" for a local government to act on this type of application, is presumptively 150 days.<sup>2</sup> According to the Shot Clock Order, if the Town fails to act within such reasonable period of time, the applicant may commence an action in court for "failure to act" under Section 332(c) (7) (B) (v) of the Federal Communications Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A copy of the Shot Clock Order is available at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\_public/attachmatch/FCC-09-99A1.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Shot Clock Order, ¶71

#### III. The Proposed Facility Meets the Special Permit Standards

The instant application is for a special use permit. A special permit use is permitted as of right when the applicant has demonstrated compliance with the applicable standards. See Matter of North Shore Steak House v. Board of Appeals of Inc. Vil. of Thomaston, 30 N.Y.2d 238, 331 N.Y.S. 2d 645 (1972). It is respectfully submitted that the Facility conforms to the requirements of Chapter 277 of the Town Code and Section 274-b of New York State Town Law, for the following reasons:

- A. <u>Safety § 277-6(D) (1):</u> Verizon Wireless will maintain the Facility in a safe manner and in compliance with all valid and legally permissible conditions of the special use permit, without exception, unless specifically granted relief by the Board, in writing, as well as all applicable and permissible local codes, rules and regulations, including any and all applicable County, State and Federal laws, rules and regulations.
- B. <u>Construction §277-6(D) (2)</u>: The construction of the Facility is legally permissible, and Verizon Wireless is authorized to do business in the State of New York.
- C. Required Information (277-6): In satisfaction of the requirements set forth in Section 277-6(E) of the Code:

Submitted herewith are the plans (collectively, the "Site Plan") signed and sealed by a licensed New York State engineer, Colleen Connolly of Scherer Design Group, LLC, 53 Frontage Road, Hampton, NJ, containing the following information complying with subsections (a)-(m) and (q) of Section 277-6(E) of the Town Code:

- 1. The name and address of the property owner, operator and applicant. <u>See</u> Site Plan Z1;
- 2. The postal address and sheet, block and lot or parcel number of the property. See Site Plan Z1;
- 3. The zoning district. <u>See Site Plan Z1;</u>
- 4. The size of the Property and a diagram showing the location of all lot lines. See Site Plan Z2 and Z3;
- 5. The location of the nearest residential structure is 480 feet away. <u>See</u> Site Plan Z2, and Bulk Table on Z3;
- 6. The location of the nearest habitable structure is 340 feet away. <u>See</u> Site Plan Z2, and Bulk Table on Z3;
- 7. The location of all structures on the property. <u>See</u> Site Plan Z3;
- 8. The proposed Facility is located among existing mature trees, as such, no additional landscaping is proposed;
- 9. The number, type and design of the telecommunications tower(s) antenna(s) proposed and the basis for the calculations of the telecommunications tower's capacity to accommodate multiple users on Z4 and Z8 of the Site Plan and the structural letter ("Structural Certification") attached hereto as Exhibit 1;

- 10. The make, model and manufacturer of the proposed antennas. <u>See</u> Site Plan Z8;
- 11. A description of the proposed antennas and all related fixtures, structure appurtenances and apparatus, including the height above preexisting grade, materials, color and lighting. See Site Plan Z4, Z5, Z6 and Z8; and
- 12. The applicant's proposed maintenance and inspection procedures. <u>See</u> the Note on Site Plan Z-4.

Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 2</u> is an Antenna Site FCC RF Compliance Assessment and Report ("RF Safety Report") signed and sealed by a licensed New York State engineer containing the following information complying with subsections (n-p), (r), (s) and (u) of Section 277-6(E) of the Town Code:

- The frequency, modulation and class of service of radio or other transmitting equipment;
- The transmission and maximum effective radiated power of the proposed antennas;
- The direction of maximum lobes and associated radiation of the antennas;
- Certification that NIER levels at the Facility are within the threshold levels adopted by the FCC; and
- Certification that the proposed antennas will not cause interference with existing telecommunication devices.

As noted above, attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 1</u> Structural Certification signed and sealed by a licensed New York State engineer stating that the Tower can accommodate multiple future collocations.

Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 3</u> is a copy of Verizon Wireless' applicable FCC licenses.

- D. <u>Shared Use §277-6(F)</u>: Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 4</u>, is an Alternative Site Analysis demonstrating that there are no existing telecommunications towers upon which Verizon Wireless could collocate and close its significant gap in coverage.
- E. <u>Structural Certification \$277-6(G) & (H)</u>: The Structural Certification, attached as Exhibit 1, indicates that the Tower and attachments are designed to meet all county, state and federal structural requirements for loads, including wind and ice loads.
- F. <u>Electrical Safety §277-6(I)</u>: The Facility will be grounded and bonded so as to protect persons and property and installed with appropriate surge protectors.
- G. <u>EAF §277-6(J)</u>: Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 5</u>, is an Environmental Assessment Form ("EAF") demonstrating that the Facility will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment.

- H. <u>Visual Impact §277-6(K)</u>: The installation of the Facility will not have any adverse visual impact on the surrounding area since the Facility has been strategically located on the largely wooded 6 acre Property. The Facility will be screened from view of the neighboring property owners by the surrounding wooded area consisting of mature trees. <u>See</u> Site Plan. A Visual Impact Assessment will be provided at the Board's request pursuant to Chapter 277 of the Town Code.
- I. <u>Screening §277-6(M)</u>: The Facility will be located toward the rear of the approximately 6 acre Property, surrounded by mature trees and other existing vegetation screening the Facility from view. Verizon Wireless is also proposing to install an eight (8) foot high chain link fence around the Tower and its equipment to screen and secure the equipment. <u>See</u> Details 1/Z4, 4/Z7 and 5/Z7 on the Site Plan, submitted herewith.
- J. <u>Utilities §277-6(N)</u>: The utilities are proposed to be run underground from a proposed utility pole at the front of the Property. <u>See</u> Detail 1/Z3 and 1/Z4 on the Site Plan, submitted herewith.
- K. <u>Visual Effect §277-6(O)</u>: The Facility has been sited to have the least practical adverse visual effect on the environment and its character, as well as any residences in the area. The Facility is located on a wooded portion of the 6 acre Property so that it is set back from the street and screened by mature trees and other existing vegetation. The Facility is proposed on a large non-residentially used Property wherein the size of the Property is more than 6 times than required for its district. As such, there will be no adverse visual effect on the environment and its character and the residences in the area.
- L. <u>Accessory Facilities §277-6(P)</u>: Verizon Wireless' related equipment, consisting of equipment cabinets with a generator will be located at grade on concrete pad in the Compound screened by an (8) foot high chain-link fence.
- M. <u>Access & Parking §277-6(Q)</u>: The Facility is unmanned requiring maintenance visits of approximately once per month. Access to the Facility will be provided via a proposed extension to an existing access drive off of Montrose Station Road. The Facility is designed with a parking area at the end of the proposed access drive next to the Compound.
- N. <u>Compliance with Codes §277-6(R)</u>: Verizon Wireless shall construct, operate, maintain, repair, modify or restore the Facility in strict compliance with all applicable technical, safety, and safety related codes.
- Q. <u>Required Permits and Licenses §277-6(S)</u>: Verizon Wireless shall obtain all required permits and licenses required by any applicable law, rule or regulation, and shall maintain same in full force and effect.

- R. <u>Future Carriers §277-6(V)</u>: As set forth in the Structural Certification, the Facility has been designed to accommodate up to four (4) collocators' arrays placed as close to Verizon Wireless' antennas as possible without causing interference.
- S. Location §277-7(A) & (B): The Facility has been located at the Property in accordance with the priority locations of Section 277-7. As detailed in the Alternative Site Analysis, attached hereto as Exhibit 4, and the report of Verizon Wireless' radio-frequency consultant C-Squared ("RF Report"), attached hereto as Exhibit 6, there are not any existing telecommunications towers or existing tall structures (or sites with existing towers or structures) on which Verizon Wireless can locate its equipment and remedy its significant gap in coverage. In addition, there is no property in non-residentially zoned areas of the Town, including municipally owned property, wherein Verizon Wireless could locate a tower and remedy its significant gap in coverage, as described in the RF Report. In accordance with Section 277-7 (A)(1)(e), the Facility has been located on "other property of the Town", namely the Property here which is utilized for non-residential purposes as a horse riding stable and consists of more than 6 wooded acres and
- T. <u>Future Sites §277-7(C)</u>: The locations of additional sites that Verizon Wireless has, is, or may be considering, reviewing or planning for telecommunications towers in the Town, and all municipalities adjoining or adjacent to the Town, for a two (2) year period as of the date hereof, are set forth to the best of its knowledge at this time in the RF Report, attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 6</u>.
- U. <u>Shared Use §277-8:</u> Verizon Wireless is unable to locate antennas on an existing telecommunications tower since there are no existing towers on location within the Town and adjacent municipalities that will address the significant gap in coverage that exists in the vicinity of the Property. In addition, that there are not any existing tall structures within the Town and adjacent municipalities upon which Verizon Wireless can locate its equipment and provide the necessary coverage As a result, the Facility is proposed at the Property to remedy Verizon Wireless' significant coverage gap. <u>See</u> Alternative Site Analysis and RF Report.
- V. <u>Height §277-9</u>: The Tower's height is the minimum necessary to address Verizon Wireless' significant gap in coverage and enable collocation. <u>See</u> RF Affidavit.
- W. <u>Visibility §277-10</u>: The Tower will not be artificially lighted or marked. The only lighting proposed in connection with the Facility is a light on a timer in the equipment area. Such light will be pointing toward the ground so there will be no glare on surrounding properties.
- X. <u>Security §277-11:</u> Verizon Wireless' Facility will be secured by an eight (8) foot high chain link fence. Only authorized personnel will be allowed to access the Facility.

- Y. <u>Signage §277-12</u>: The Facility will contain a sign no larger than four (4) square feet, with the name and emergency telephone number for Verizon Wireless, and a sign in accordance with FCC regulations regarding radio frequency emissions. No commercial or retail signage is proposed.
- Z. <u>Setbacks §277-13:</u> The Facility will comply with the all of the setback requirements set forth in Section 277-13, except for an individual side yard setback. In connection therewith, Verizon Wireless will require a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals However, the setback variance that Verizon Wireless will seek is from the Blue Mountain Reservation so there are not structures in the proximate area and only woods between the Property and the adjacent Reservation.
- AA. <u>Performance Security §277-21</u>: Verizon Wireless respectfully requests that the removal bond not be required until the issuance of a building permit so a temporary waiver is being requested at this time.
- BB. <u>Indemnity §277-24</u>: Since the Facility is not proposed to be located on Town property, Verizon Wireless respectfully requests a waiver from the indemnity requirement.

Based on the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that Verizon Wireless has complied with the requirements for special use permit approval.

#### **Conclusion**

By granting the requested approvals, the Planning Board will permit Verizon Wireless to improve its wireless communications in the area and enable local residents, public service entities and the traveling public, to have enhanced wireless service critical for the public health, safety and welfare of the area. Any potential impact on the community created by the approval will be minimal and without significant adverse effect.

**WHEREFORE,** for all of the foregoing reasons, Verizon Wireless respectfully prays that this Honorable Board issue a negative declaration under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and grant the requested approvals.

Dated: February 20, 2019

Respectfully submitted, Leslie J. Snyder SNYDER & SNYDER, LLP 94 White Plains Road Tarrytown, NY 10591

Z:\SSDATA\WPDATA\SS4\WP\NEWBANM\Maybeck\Cortlandt\Zoning\ljsfebPB Memo.final.rtf

Exhibit 1 Structural Certification



SCHERER DESIGN GROUP, LLC Consulting Engineers • Construction Inspectors Colleen Connolly, PE, PTOE Principal Glenn J. Scherer, PE Principal

Town of Cortlandt 1 Heady Street Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

February 8, 2019

RE: Verizon Site Name: Cortlandt 52 Montrose Station Road Cortlandt, NY 10567

Town of Cortlandt, NY Structural Certification

To Whom It May Concern:

New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon is proposing the installation of a public utility wireless telecommunications facility of a 140' Telecommunications Tower ("Tower") with antennas mounted thereon, together with related equipment including a backup generator, at the base thereof within a new fenced compound.

The proposed Tower, all attachments, and the Tower's foundation will be designed to meet the ANTI/TIA-222-G "Structural Standard for Antenna Supporting Structures and Antennas" and all county, state, and federal structural requirements for loading, including wind and ice loads. The Tower will be designed to be able to support up to four (4) co-locators.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (908) 323-2513

Sincerely,

Colleen Connolly, PE, PTOE

Shelbourne at Hunterdon • 53 Frontage Road, Suite 260 • Hampton, NJ 08827 Ph 908.323.2513 • Fax 908.323.2525 Exhibit 2 Antenna Site FCC RF Compliance Assessment and Report



# **PINNACLE TELECOM GROUP**

Professional and Technical Services

# ANTENNA SITE FCC RF Compliance Assessment and Report

prepared for New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless

> "Cortlandt" Site 52 Montrose Station Road Cortlandt, NY

> > **DECEMBER 19 2018**

14 Ridgedale Avenue - Suite 260 • Cedar Knolls, NJ 07927 • 973-451-1630

# Contents

Introduction and Summary	
Note on Non-Interference	5
Antenna and Transmission Data	5
Compliance Analysis	7
Compliance Conclusion	12

# CERTIFICATION

Appendix A. Background on the FCC MPE Limit

Appendix B. Summary of Expert Qualifications

#### Introduction and Summary

At the request of New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless"), Pinnacle Telecom Group has performed an independent assessment of radiofrequency (RF) levels and related FCC compliance for the proposed installation of a wireless base station antenna operation on a new lattice tower to be erected at 52 Montrose Station Road in Cortlandt, NY. Verizon Wireless refers to the site as "Cortlandt", and the proposed operation involves directional panel antennas to facilitate wireless service provision in the 700 MHz, 1900 MHz, and 2100 MHz frequency bands licensed to Verizon Wireless by the FCC.

The FCC requires wireless antenna operators to perform an assessment of potential human exposure to radiofrequency (RF) fields emanating from all the transmitting antennas at a site whenever antenna operations are added or modified, and to ensure compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limit in the FCC regulations. In this case, there are no other antenna operations at the site to include in the compliance assessment. Note that FCC regulations require any future antenna collocators to assess and assure continuing compliance based on the cumulative effects of all then-proposed and then-existing antennas at the site.

This report describes a mathematical analysis of RF levels resulting around the site in areas of unrestricted public access, that is, at ground level around the site. The compliance analysis employs a standard FCC formula for calculating the effects of the antennas in a very conservative manner, in order to overstate the RF levels and to ensure "safe-side" conclusions regarding compliance with the FCC limit for safe continuous exposure of the general public.

The results of a compliance assessment can be explained in layman's terms by describing the calculated RF levels as simple percentages of the FCC MPE limit. If the reference for that limit is 100 percent, then calculated RF levels higher than 100 percent indicate the MPE limit is exceeded, while calculated RF levels consistently lower than 100 percent serve as a clear and sufficient demonstration

of compliance with the MPE limit. We will also describe the overall worst-case calculated result via the "plain-English" equivalent "times-below-the-limit factor".

The results of the FCC RF compliance assessment in this case are as follows:

- At street level around the site, the conservatively calculated maximum RF level from the proposed antenna operations is 0.7934 percent (i.e., less than 8/10<sup>ths</sup> of one percent) of the FCC MPE limit. In other words, even with the significant degree of conservatism in the calculations, the worst-case calculated RF level is still more than 125 times below the FCC limit for safe, continuous exposure to the RF emissions from antennas.
- The results of the calculations provide a clear demonstration that the RF levels from the proposed antenna operations at the site satisfy the applicable criteria for controlling potential human exposure to RF fields, and the RF levels will be in clear compliance with the FCC regulations and limit concerning RF safety. Moreover, because of the conservative methodology and incorporated assumptions, RF levels actually caused by the antennas will be even less significant than the calculation results here indicate.

The remainder of this report provides the following:

- relevant technical data on the proposed Verizon Wireless antenna operations at the site;
- descriptions of the applicable FCC mathematical models for assessing MPE compliance, and application of the relevant technical data to those models; and
- □ the results of the analysis, and the compliance conclusion for the site.

In addition, Appendix A provides background on the FCC MPE limit, along with a list of FCC references on compliance. Appendix B provides a summary of the qualifications of the expert certifying RF compliance for this site.

## Note on Non-Interference

In connection with the RF emissions from the proposed antenna operation, we note that Verizon Wireless has been granted by the FCC exclusive geographic rights to its channel frequencies, and is further subject to strict FCC technical standards on parameters such as maximum power and out-of-band emissions, as well as regulations related to non-interference. Therefore, we can provide a clear assurance that the proposed antenna operation will not interfere with public safety communications, or the usual and customary reception of radio, television. or other communications services enjoyed by the nearby residential and nonresidential properties, or other existing telecommunications devices. At the same time, however, we would be professionally remiss in omitting a reference to a July 2003 FCC decision - a "Memorandum Opinion and Order" in "WT Docket No. 02-100" that related to interference. That FCC Order concluded that any local ordinance requiring a certification of non-interference related to a wireless antenna siting application represents "impermissible regulation" of RF interference, an area under exclusive FCC jurisdiction and federally-preempted from local regulation.

## Antenna and Transmission Data

The table that follows provides the key compliance-related data for the proposed Verizon Wireless operations.

General Data	
Frequency Bands	700 MHz, 19000 MHz and 2100 MHz
Service Coverage Type	Sectorized
Antenna Type	Directional Panel
Antenna Centerline Height AGL	137 ft.
Antenna Line Loss	0 dB (conservatively ignored)
700 MHz Antenna Data	
Antenna Model (Max. Gain)	Commscope NHH-65B-R2B (14.9 dBi)
RF Channels per Sector	Two channels @ 60 watts

1900 MHz Antenna Data		
Antenna Model (Max. Gain)	Commscope NHH-65B-R2B (17.9 dBi)	
RF Channels per Sector	Two channels @ 60 watts	
2100 MHz Antenna Data		
Antenna Model (Max. Gain)	Commscope NHH-65B-R2B (18.4 dBi)	
RF Channels per Sector	Four channels @ 45 watts	

The antenna vertical-plane radiation pattern is used in the calculations of RF levels at street level around a site. Figure 1 that follows shows the vertical-plane pattern of the proposed antenna model in 746 MHZ band.

In this type of antenna pattern diagram, the antenna is effectively pointed at the three o'clock position (the horizon) and the pattern at different angles is described using decibel units. Note that the use of a decibel scale to describe the relative pattern at different angles actually serves to significantly understate the actual focusing effects of the antenna. Where the antenna pattern reads 20 dB the relative RF energy emitted at the corresponding downward angle is 1/100<sup>th</sup> of the maximum that occurs in the main beam (at 0 degrees); at 30 dB, the energy is only 1/1000<sup>th</sup> of the maximum.

Note that the automatic pattern-scaling feature of our internal software may skew side-by-side visual comparisons of different antenna models, or even different parties' depictions of the same antenna model.



Figure 1. Commscope NHH-65B-R2B – 746 MHz Vertical-plane Pattern

## **COMPLIANCE ANALYSIS**

FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin 65 ("OET Bulletin 65") provides guidelines for mathematical models to calculate the RF levels at various points around transmitting antennas. At street-level around an antenna site (in what is called the "far field" of the antennas), the RF levels are directly proportional to the total antenna input power and the relative antenna gain in the downward direction of interest – and the levels are otherwise inversely proportional to the square of the straight-line distance to the antenna. Conservative calculations also assume the potential RF exposure is enhanced by reflection of the RF energy from the intervening ground. Our calculations will assume a 100% "perfect" reflection, the worst-case approach.

The formula for street-level RF compliance calculations for any given wireless antenna operation is as follows:

MPE% = (100 \* TxPower \* 10 (Gmax-Vdisc/10) \* 4 ) / (MPE \*  $4\pi$  \* R<sup>2</sup> )

7

where				
MPE%	=	RF level, expressed as a percentage of the MPE limit applicable to continuous exposure of the general public		
100	=	factor to convert the raw result to a percentage		
TxPower	ш	maximum net power into antenna sector, in milliwatts, a function of the number of channels per sector, the transmitter power per channel, and line loss		
10 <sup>(Gmax-Vdisc/10)</sup>	=	numeric equivalent of the relative antenna gain in the downward direction of interest, referenced to any applied antenna mechanical downtilt; data on the antenna vertical-plane pattern is taken from manufacturer specifications		
4	=	factor to account for a 100-percent-efficient energy reflection from the ground, and the squared relationship between RF field strength and power density $(2^2 = 4)$		
MPE	-	FCC general population MPE limit		
R	=	straight-line distance from the RF source to the point of interest, centimeters		

The street-level MPE% calculations are performed out to a distance of 500 feet from the facility to points 6.5 feet (approximately two meters, the FCC-recommended standing height) off the ground, as illustrated in the Figure 2 on the next page.

`

.



Ground Distance D from the site

Figure 2. MPE% Calculation Geometry

It is popularly understood that the farther away one is from an antenna, the lower the RF level - which is generally but not universally correct. The results of MPE% calculations fairly close to the site will reflect the variations in the verticalplane antenna pattern as well as the variation in straight-line distance to the antennas. Therefore, RF levels may actually increase slightly with increasing distance within the range of zero to 500 feet from the site.

As the distance approaches 500 feet and beyond, though, the antenna pattern factor becomes less significant, the RF levels become primarily distancecontrolled, and as a result the RF levels generally decrease with increasing distance, and are well understood to be in compliance.

FCC compliance for a multiple-band antenna operation is assessed in the At each distance point along the ground, an MPE% following manner. calculation is made for the RF effect in each frequency band, and the sum of the individual MPE% contributions at each point is compared to 100 percent, which serves as the normalized reference for the FCC MPE limit. We refer to the sum of the individual MPE% contributions as "total MPE%", and any calculated MPE% total MPE% result exceeding 100 percent is, by definition, higher than the FCC limit and represents non-compliance and a need to mitigate the RF levels. If, on
the other hand, all results are below 100 percent, that set of results serves as a demonstration of compliance with the MPE limit.

We refer to the sum of the individual MPE% contributions as "total MPE%", and any calculated total MPE% result exceeding 100 percent is, by definition, higher than the FCC limit and represents non-compliance and a need to mitigate the potential exposure. If all results are consistently below 100 percent, on the other hand, that set of results serves as a clear and sufficient demonstration of compliance with the MPE limit.

Note that according to the FCC, when directional antennas such as the panels commonly used in wireless communications are used, the compliance assessments are based on the RF effect of a single (facing) antenna sector or, in cases of non-identical parameters, the worst-case effect of any individual sector.

The following conservative methodology and assumptions are incorporated into the MPE% calculations on a general basis:

- 1. The antennas are assumed to be operating continuously at maximum power, and at maximum channel capacity.
- 2. The power-attenuation effects of shadowing or other obstructions to the line-of-sight path from the antenna to the point of interest are ignored.
- 3. The calculations intentionally minimize the distance factor (R) by assuming a 6'6" human and performing the calculations from the bottom (rather than the centerline) of the antenna.
- 4. The potential RF exposure at ground level is assumed to be 100-percent enhanced (increased) via a "perfect" field reflection from the ground itself.

The net result of these assumptions is to significantly overstate the calculated RF exposure levels relative to the levels that will actually occur – and the purpose of this conservatism is to allow very "safe-side" conclusions about compliance.

The table that follows provides the results of the MPE% calculations for each frequency band, with the worst-case result highlighted in bold in the last column.

Ground Distance (ft)	Verizon Wireless 700 MHz MPE%	Verizon Wireless 1900 MHz MPE%	Verizon Wireless 2100 MHz MPE%	Total MPE%
0	0.0193	0.0111	0.0011	0.0315
20	0.0158	0.0248	0.0004	0.0410
40	0.0046	0.0474	0.0110	0.0630
60	0.0239	0.0051	0.1563	0.1853
80	0.1202	0.0006	0.0419	0.1627
100	0.2275	0.0034	0.0029	0.2338
120	0.1818	0.0956	0.0007	0.2781
140	0.0850	0.3974	0.1198	0.6023
160	0.0356	0.2193	0.5385	0.7934
180	0.0274	0.0128	0.3809	0.4211
200	0.0351	0.0233	0.0077	0.0660
220	0.0495	0.0067	0.0544	0.1106
240	0.1071	0.0134	0.0436	0.1641
260	0.1264	0.0181	0.0090	0.1535
280	0.1849	0.0068	0.0447	0.2365
300	0.2004	0.0024	0.0648	0.2676
320	0.2317	0.0182	0.0237	0.2736
340	0.2208	0.0243	0.0027	0.2478
360	0.2006	0.0197	0.0095	0.2298
380	0.1739	0.0084	0.0281	0.2105
400	0.1439	0.0015	0.0344	0.1798
420	0.1149	0.0016	0.0226	0.1391
440	0.1055	0.0015	0.0207	0.1277
460	0.0840	0.0084	0.0060	0.0985
480	0.0710	0.0129	0.0004	0.0843
500	0.0658	0.0120	0.0004	0.0781

As indicated, even with the significant degree of conservatism built into the calculations, the maximum calculated RF level is 0.7934 percent of the FCC general population MPE limit – well below the 100-percent reference for compliance.

A graph of the overall street-level calculation results, provided on the next page, provides a clearer *visual* illustration of the relative insignificance of the calculated RF levels. The line representing the overall calculation results barely noticeably rises above the graph's baseline, and shows an obviously clear, consistent margin to the FCC MPE limit.



# **COMPLIANCE CONCLUSION**

According to the FCC, the FCC MPE limit has been constructed in such a manner that continuous human exposure to RF emissions up to and including 100 percent of the MPE limit is acceptable and safe.

As described, the analysis in this case shows that the maximum calculated RF level from the proposed operations at the site, is 0.7934 percent of the FCC MPE limit. In other words, the worst-case calculated RF level from the antenna operations is more than 125 times below the limit established as safe for continuous human exposure to the RF emissions from antennas.

The results of the calculations provide a clear demonstration of compliance with the FCC MPE limit. Moreover, because of the conservative calculation methodology and operational assumptions we applied in the analysis, RF levels actually caused by the antennas will be even less significant than the calculation results here indicate.

## Certification

It is the policy of Pinnacle Telecom Group that all FCC RF compliance assessments are reviewed, approved, and signed by the firm's Chief Technical Officer who certifies as follows:

- 1. I have read and fully understand the FCC regulations concerning RF safety and the control of human exposure to RF fields (47 CFR 1.1301 *et seq*).
- 2. To the best of my knowledge, the statements and information disclosed in this report are true, complete and accurate.
- The analysis of site RF compliance provided herein is consistent with the applicable FCC regulations, additional guidelines issued by the FCC, and industry practice.
- 4. The results of the analysis indicate that the subject antenna operations will be in compliance with the FCC regulations concerning the control of potential human exposure to the RF emissions from antennas.

Daniel C. Collins Chief Technical Officer Pinnacle Telecom Group, LLC

12/19/18 Date

# Appendix A. Background on the FCC MPE Limit

#### FCC Rules and Regulations

As directed by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the FCC has established limits for maximum continuous human exposure to RF fields.

The FCC maximum permissible exposure (MPE) limits represent the consensus of federal agencies and independent experts responsible for RF safety matters. Those agencies include the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). In formulating its guidelines, the FCC also considered input from the public and technical community – notably the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE).

The FCC's RF exposure guidelines are incorporated in Section 1.301 *et seq* of its Rules and Regulations (47 CFR 1.1301-1.1310). Those guidelines specify MPE limits for both occupational and general population exposure.

The specified continuous exposure MPE limits are based on known variation of human body susceptibility in different frequency ranges, and a Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of 4 watts per kilogram, which is universally considered to accurately represent human capacity to dissipate incident RF energy (in the form of heat). The occupational MPE guidelines incorporate a safety factor of 10 or greater with respect to RF levels known to represent a health hazard, and an additional safety factor of five is applied to the MPE limits for general population exposure. Thus, the general population MPE limit has a built-in safety factor of more than 50. The limits were constructed to appropriately protect humans of both sexes and all ages and sizes and under all conditions – and continuous exposure at levels equal to or below the applicable MPE limits is considered to result in no adverse health effects or even health risk.

The reason for *two* tiers of MPE limits is based on an understanding and assumption that members of the general public are unlikely to have had appropriate RF safety training and may not be aware of the exposures they receive; occupational exposure in controlled environments, on the other hand, is assumed to involve individuals who have had such training, are aware of the exposures, and know how to maintain a safe personal work environment.

The FCC's RF exposure limits are expressed in two equivalent forms, using alternative units of field strength (expressed in volts per meter, or V/m), and power density (expressed in milliwatts per square centimeter, or mW/cm<sup>2</sup>). The table on the next page lists the FCC limits for both occupational and general population exposures, using the mW/cm<sup>2</sup> reference, for the different radio frequency ranges.

Frequency Range (F) (MHz)	Occupational Exposure ( mW/cm²)	General Public Exposure ( mW/cm²)
0.3 - 1.34	100	100
1.34 - 3.0	100	180 / F²
3.0 - 30	900 / F <sup>2</sup>	180 / F <sup>2</sup>
30 - 300	1.0	0.2
300 - 1,500	F / 300	F / 1500
1,500 - 100,000	5.0	1.0

The diagram below provides a graphical illustration of both the FCC's occupational and general population MPE limits.



Because the FCC's MPE limits are frequency-shaped, the exact MPE limits applicable to the instant situation depend on the frequency range used by the systems of interest.

The most appropriate method of determining RF compliance is to calculate the RF power density attributable to a particular system and compare that to the MPE limit applicable to the operating frequency in question. The result is usually expressed as a percentage of the MPE limit.

For potential exposure from multiple systems, the respective percentages of the MPE limits are added, and the total percentage compared to 100 (percent of the limit). If the result is less than 100, the total exposure is in compliance; if it is more than 100, exposure mitigation measures are necessary to achieve compliance.

Note that the FCC "categorically excludes" certain types of antenna facilities from the routine requirement to specifically (i.e., mathematically) demonstrate compliance with the MPE limit. Among those types of facilities are cellular antennas mounted on any type of tower, when the bottoms of the antennas are more than 10 meters (c. 32.8 feet) above ground. The basis for the categorical exclusion, according to the FCC, is the understanding that because of the low power and the directionality of the antennas, such facilities – individually and collectively – are well understood to have no significant effect on the human environment. As a result, the FCC automatically deems such facilities to be in compliance.

In addition, FCC Rules and Regulations Section 1.1307(b)(3) describes a provision known in the industry as "the 5% rule". It describes that when a specific location – like a spot on a rooftop – is subject to an overall exposure level exceeding the applicable MPE limit, operators with antennas whose MPE% contributions at the point of interest are less than 5% are exempted from the obligation otherwise shared by all operators to bring the site into compliance, and those antennas are automatically deemed by the FCC to satisfy the rooftop compliance requirement.

#### FCC References on Compliance

47 CFR, FCC Rules and Regulations, Part 1 (Practice and Procedure), Section 1.1310 (Radiofrequency radiation exposure limits).

FCC Second Memorandum Opinion and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FCC 97-303), In the Matter of Procedures for Reviewing Requests for Relief From State and Local Regulations Pursuant to Section 332(c)(7)(B)(v) of the Communications Act of 1934 (WT Docket 97-192), Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation (ET Docket 93-62), and Petition for Rulemaking of the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association Concerning Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Preempt State and Local Regulation of Commercial Mobile Radio Service Transmitting Facilities, released August 25, 1997.

FCC First Memorandum Opinion and Order, ET Docket 93-62, *In the Matter of Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation*, released December 24, 1996.

FCC Report and Order, ET Docket 93-62, In the Matter of Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, released August 1, 1996.

FCC Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) Bulletin 65, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", Edition 97-01, August 1997.

# Appendix B. Summary of Expert Qualifications

Daniel J. Collins, Chief Technical Officer, Pinnacle Telecom Group, LLC

the second s	
Synopsis:	<ul> <li>40+ years of experience in all aspects of wireless system engineering, related regulation, and RF exposure</li> <li>Has performed or led RF exposure compliance assessments on more than 20,000 antenna sites since the latest FCC regulations went into effect in 1997</li> <li>Has provided testimony as an RF compliance expert more than 1,500 times since 1997</li> <li>Have been accepted as an FCC compliance expert in New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania and more than 40 other states, as well as by the FCC</li> </ul>
Education:	<ul> <li>B.E.E., City College of New York (Sch. Of Eng.), 1971</li> <li>M.B.A., 1982, Fairleigh Dickinson University, 1982</li> <li>Bronx High School of Science, 1966</li> </ul>
Current Responsibilities:	<ul> <li>Leads all PTG staff work involving RF safety and FCC compliance, microwave and satellite system engineering, and consulting on wireless technology and regulation</li> </ul>
Prior Experience:	<ul> <li>Edwards &amp; Kelcey, VP – RF Engineering and Chief Information Technology Officer, 1996-99</li> <li>Bellcore (a Bell Labs offshoot after AT&amp;T's 1984 divestiture), Executive Director – Regulation and Public Policy, 1983-96</li> <li>AT&amp;T (Corp. HQ), Division Manager – RF Engineering, and Director – Radio Spectrum Management, 1977-83</li> <li>AT&amp;T Long Lines, Group Supervisor – Microwave Radio System Design, 1972-77</li> </ul>
<i>Specific RF Safety / Compliance Experience:</i>	<ul> <li>Involved in RF exposure matters since 1972</li> <li>Have had lead corporate responsibility for RF safety and compliance at AT&amp;T, Bellcore, Edwards &amp; Kelcey, and PTG</li> <li>While at AT&amp;T, helped develop the mathematical models for calculating RF exposure levels</li> <li>Have been relied on for compliance by all major wireless carriers, as well as by the federal government, several state and local governments, equipment manufacturers, system integrators, and other consulting / engineering firms</li> </ul>
Other Background:	<ul> <li>Author, <i>Microwave System Engineering</i> (AT&amp;T, 1974)</li> <li>Co-author and executive editor, <i>A Guide to New Technologies and Services</i> (Bellcore, 1993)</li> <li>National Spectrum Management Association (NSMA) – former three-term President and Chairman of the Board of Directors; was founding member, twice-elected Vice President, long-time member of the Board, and was named an NSMA Fellow in 1991</li> <li>Have published more than 35 articles in industry magazines</li> </ul>

Exhibit 3 FCC Licenses

Fe	V	Communica	unications Bureau	l	on	
LICENSEE: CELLCO ATTN: REGULATORY CELLCO PARTNERSH 1120 SANCTUARY PK ALPHARETTA, GA 30 FCC Registration Number (FI	TP .WY, #150 009-7630	GĄSĄSREG			63 <b>Radio</b> WS (171	File Number Service 10-1755 MHz and 55 MHz)
<b>Grant Date</b> 11-29-2006	]	Effective Date 01.04-2014	Expiration Da 11-29-2021	ite		Print Date
Market Number CMA001Channel BlockSub-Market Designator 0						
		Market New York, NY-NJ				
1st Build-out Date	2nd	Build-out Date	3rd Build-out D	ate	4t	h Build-out Date
Waivers/Conditions: This authorization is conditioned upon the licensee, prior to initiating operations from any base or fixed station, making reasonable efforts to coordinate frequency usage with known co-channel and adjacent channel incumbent federal users operating in the 1710-1755 MHz band whose facilities could be affected by the proposed operations. See, e.g., FCC and NTIA Coordination Procedures in the 1710-1755 MHz Band, Public Notice, FCC 06-50, WTB-Docket No. 02-353, rel. April 20, 2006.						
<b>Conditions:</b> Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.						

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

in.

#### Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

Call Sign: WQGB263

#### File Number:

**Print Date:** 

The license is subject to compliance with the provisions of the January 12, 2001 Agreement between Deutsche Telekom AG, VoiceStream Wireless Corporation, VoiceStream Wireless Holding Corporation and the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), which addresses national security, law enforcement, and public safety issues of the FBI and the DOJ regarding the authority granted by this license. Nothing in the Agreement is intended to limit any obligation imposed by Federal lawor regulation including, but not limited to, 47 U.S.C. Section 222(a) and (c)(1) and the FCC's implementing regulations. The Agreement is published at VoiceStream-DT Order, IB Docket No. 00-187, FCC 01-142, 16 FCC Rcd 9779, 9853 (2001).

#### **REFERENCE COPY**

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

LICENSEE: CELLCO	Federal Communic Wireless Telecomm RADIO STATION A	unications Bure	au			
ATTN: REGULATOR	6.54		Call Sign WQGA906	File Number		
CELLCO PARTNERSE 5055 NORTH POINT P ALPHARETTA, GA 30 FCC Registration Number (FI	EP KWY, NP2NE NETWORK ENG	INEERING	AW - AWS	tadio Service (1710-1755 MHz and 10-2155 MHz)		
Grant Date 11-29-2006	Effective Date 11-01-2016	Expiration 11-29-202		Print Date		
Market Number BEA010	China	el Block	Sub	-Market Designator 15		
	Market New Yorksho, New					
1st Build-out Date	2nd Build-out Date	3 d'Build-out	Date	4th Build-out Date		
Waivers/Conditions: This authorization is conditioned upon the licensee, prior to initiating operations from any base or fixed station, making reasonable efforts to coordinate frequency usage with known co-channel and adjacent channel incumbent federal users operating in the 1710-1755 MHz band whose facilities could be affected by the proposed operations. See, e.g., FCC and NTIA Coordination Procedures in the 1710-1755 MHz Band, Public Notice, FCC 06-50, WTB Docket No. 02-353, rel. April 20, 2006.						
Conditions: Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this litense is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized tercin. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control-conterved by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.						
This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified in the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.						

#### **REFERENCE COPY**

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC ticense.



# Federal Communications Commission

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

#### **RADIO STATION AUTHORIZATION**

LICENSEE: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

ATTN: REGULATORY CELLCO PARTNERSHIP 5055 NORTH POINT PKWY, NP2NE NETWORK ENGINEERING ALPHARETTA, GA 30022	Call Sign KNLH264	File Number 0007716974
	Radio CW - PCS	

#### FCC Registration Number (FRN): 0003290673

Grant Date 06-02-2017	Effective Date 06-02-2017	Expiration Date 06-27-2027	<b>Print Date</b> 06-06-2017
Market Number BTA321	Chan	el Block F	Sub-Market Designator 0
	Market New Yo		
1st Build-out Date 06-27-2002	2nd Build-out Date	3rd Build-out Date	4th Build-out Date

#### Waivers/Conditions:

This authorization is subject to the condition that, in the event that systems using the same frequencies as granted herein are authorized in an adjacent foreign territory (Canada/United States), future coordination of any base station transmitters within 72 km (45 miles) of the United States/Canada border shall be required to eliminate any harmful interference to operations in the adjacent foreign territory and to ensure continuance of equal access to the frequencies by both countries.

This authorization is conditioned upon the full and timely payment of all monies due pursuant to Sections 1.2110 and 24.716 of the Commission's Rules and the terms of the Commission's installment plan as set forth in the Note and Security Agreement executed by the licensee. Failure to comply with this condition will result in the automatic cancellation of this authorization.

#### **Conditions:**

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

#### Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

 $T = \sqrt{2}$ 

2

Call Sign: KNLH264

### File Number: 0007716974

ς.

Print Date: 06-06-2017

License renewal granted on a conditional basis, subject to the outcome of FCC proceeding WT Docket No. 10-112 (see FCC 10-86, paras. 113 and 126).

ł

e"

E COMMUNICATION FO	ederal Communic Wireless Telecomm			n
S*COMMISSION*	RADIO STATION A	AUTHORIZAT	ION	
LICENSEE: CELLCO	PARTNERSHIP			
ATTNI DECUT ATONS			Call Sig	
ATTN: REGULATORY CELLCO PARTNERS		-	WQBT539	
	KWY, NP2NE NETWORK ENC	GINEERING		Radio Service - PCS Broadband
ALPHARETTA, GA 30	2 2 2 2 2 2 2		CW	- PCS Broaubanu
	N ARC	L		
FCC Registration Number (FI	RN): 0003290673			
Grant Date	Effective Date	Expiratio	n Date	Print Date
12-06-2016	12-06-2016	01-03-2		12-07-2016
Market Number BTA321	Chann	ref Block C	Su	<b>ib-Market Designat</b> or 4
	Market New Yo			
<b>1st Build-out Date</b> 12-07-2003	2nd Build-out Date	3rd Build-ou	it Date	4th Build-out Date
Waivers/Conditions:	he condition that in the event that	t systems are ing the	some fragueno	ier of granted herein are

This authorization is subject to the condition that, in the event that system's using the same frequencies as granted herein are authorized in an adjacent foreign territory (Canada/United States), future coordination of any base station transmitters within 72 km (45 miles) of the United States/Canada border shall be required to eliminate any harmful interference to operations in the adjacent foreign territory and to ensure continuance of equal access to the frequencies by both countries.

This authorization is conditioned upon the full and timely payment of all monies due pursuant to Sections 1.2110 and 24.711 of the Commission's Rules and the terms of the Commission's installment plan as set forth in the Note and Security Agreement executed by the licensee. Failure to comply with this condition will result in the automatic cancellation of this authorization.

#### **Conditions:**

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

#### Licensee Name: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

Call Sign: WQBT539

File Number: 0007490681

Print Date: 12-07-2016

License renewal granted on a conditional basis, subject to the outcome of FCC proceeding WT Docket No. 10-112 (see FCC 10-86, paras. 113 and 126).

Pursuant to Order DA 03-617 (rel. March 3, 2003), the designated entity holding period for this license is extended by 703 days, or until the licensee meets its five-year construction requirement, whichever is sooner.



For the communication of the commission of the c	V	l Communica Vireless Telecomm ADIO STATION A	unications Bu	ireau	on	
LICENSEE: AIRTOU ATTN: REGULATORY AIRTOUCH CELLUE 5055 NORTH POINT P ALPHARETTA, GA 30	IR KWY, NP 022	ŹŅĘ NETWORK ENG	INEERING	Call Si KNLF64 C'	14 Radio	File Number 0007490664 Service Broadband
Grant Date 12-02-2016	]	Effective Date 12-02-2016	Expirati 01-03			<b>Print Date</b> 12-03-2016
Market Number BTA321		Chânn	eľ Block	5	Sub-Ma	<b>rket Designator</b> 3
		Market New Yo				
<b>1st Build-out Date</b> 12-07-2003	2nd	Build-out Date 01-03-2007	3rd Build-	out Date	41	h Build-out Date
<ul> <li>Waivers/Conditions:</li> <li>This authorization is subject to the condition that, in the event that systems using the same frequencies as granted herein are authorized in an adjacent foreign territory (Canada/United States), future coordination of any base station transmitters within 72 km (45 miles) of the United States/Canada border shall be required to eliminate any harmful interference to operations in the adjacent foreign territory and to ensure continuance of equal access to the frequencies by both countries.</li> <li>Grant of the request to update licensee name is conditioned on it not reflecting an assignment or transfer of control (see Rule 1.948); if an assignment or transfer occurred without proper notification or FCC approval, the grant is void and the station is licensed under the prior name.</li> </ul>						
Conditions: Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.						

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Licensee Name: AIRTOUCH CELLULAR

Call Sign: KNLF644

**File Number:** 0007490664

Print Date: 12-03-2016

License renewal granted on a conditional basis, subject to the outcome of FCC proceeding WT Docket No. 10-112 (see FCC 10-86, paras. 113 and 126).



#### **REFERENCE COPY**

This is not an official FCC license. It is a record of public information contained in the FCC's licensing database on the date that this reference copy was generated. In cases where FCC rules require the presentation, posting, or display of an FCC license, this document may not be used in place of an official FCC license.

# **Federal Communications Commission**

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

#### **RADIO STATION AUTHORIZATION**

LICENSEE: CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

ATTN: REGULATORY CELLCO PARTNERŠHIP 5055 NORTH POINT PKWY, NP2NE NETWORK ENGINEERING ALPHARETTA, GA 30022

	Call Sign WQJQ689	File Number			
ĺ	Radio Service				
4	WU - 700 MHz Upr	er Band (Block C)			

#### FCC Registration Number (FRN): 0003290673

Grant Date	Effectivé Date	Expiration Date	Print Date
11-26-2008	05-23-2017	06-13-2019	
Market Number	, Chân	nel Block	Sub-Market Designator
REA001		C	0
	Marke Norti		
1st Build-out Date 06-13-2013	2nd Build-out Date 06-13-2019	3rd Build-out Date	4th Build-out Date

#### Waivers/Conditions:

If the facilities authorized herein are used to provide broadcast operations, whether exclusively or in combination with other services, the licensee must seek renewal of the license either within eight years from the commencement of the broadcast service or within the term of the license had the broadcast service not been provided, whichever period is shorter in length. See 47 CFR §27.13(b).

This authorization is conditioned upon compliance with section 27.16 of the Commission's rules.

#### **Conditions:**

Pursuant to §309(h) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), this license is subject to the following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

F	<b>Eederal Communic</b> Wireless Telecomm RADIO STATION A	nunications Bureau	ssion	
LICENSEE: CELLCO ATTN: REGULATOR CELLCO PARTNERS 1120 SANCTUARY PI ALPHARETTA, GA 30 FCC Registration Number (F	Y HIP KWY, #150 GASA5REG 0009-7630	WQ	V - AWS (17	File Number O Service 10-1755 MHz and 155 MHz)
<b>Grant Date</b> 11-29-2006	Effective Date 03-30-2016	Expiration Date 11-29-2021		Print Date
Market Number BEA010	The Market Ma	el Block B	Sub-Ma	rket Designator 15
	Market New York-No, N			
1st Build-out Date	2nd Build-out Date	3rd Build-out Date	41	th Build-out Date
reasonable efforts to coordinate operating in the 1710-1755 MHz Coordination Procedures in the 1 2006.	d upon the licensee, prior to initiat frequency usage with known co-c z band whose facilities could be at 1710-1755 MHz Band, Public Not	hannel and adjacent channel ffected by the proposed oper	l incumbent : rations. See.	federal users
following conditions: This lice	mmunications Act of 1934, as ame ense shall not vest in the licensee a	ended, 47 U.S.C. §309(h), th any right to operate the stati	nis license is on nor any ri	subject to the ght in the use of the

following conditions: This license shall not vest in the licensee any right to operate the station nor any right in the use of the frequencies designated in the license beyond the term thereof nor in any other manner than authorized herein. Neither the license nor the right granted thereunder shall be assigned or otherwise transferred in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. § 310(d). This license is subject in terms to the right of use or control conferred by §706 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. See 47 U.S.C. §606.

This license may not authorize operation throughout the entire geographic area or spectrum identified on the hardcopy version. To view the specific geographic area and spectrum authorized by this license, refer to the Spectrum and Market Area information under the Market Tab of the license record in the Universal Licensing System (ULS). To view the license record, go to the ULS homepage at http://wireless.fcc.gov/uls/index.htm?job=home and select "License Search". Follow the instructions on how to search for license information.

Exhibit 4 Alternative Site Analysis 

## In the matter of the Application of NEW YORK SMSA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS

Premises:	Section 44.07, Block 1, Lot 4 52 Montrose Station Road Town of Cortlandt, NY			
State of Ne	w York	)		X
County of V	Vestchester	)	)ss.:	

John Pepe, does depose and say:

1. I am a site acquisition consultant with more than 10 years of experience and have been retained by New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") in connection with the captioned matter. I specialize in identifying and evaluating properties for wireless telecommunications purposes.

Affidavit

-----X

2. I am familiar with Verizon Wireless' existing and proposed wireless telecommunications facility sites in the Town of Cortlandt ("Town") and I respectfully submit this affidavit in support of the application by Verizon Wireless for a public utility telecommunications facility ("Facility") located at 52 Montrose Station Road, Town of Cortlandt, New York ("Property").

3. Please note that pursuant to Section 277-7(A)(1)(a) of the Town Code, I researched as to whether the proposed Facility could be located on existing towers or other tall structures in the area surrounding the Property. There are no such existing structures that are physically suitable to support the Facility while providing the necessary coverage, similar to the Facility at the Property.

4. Pursuant to Section 277-7(A)(1)(b), I researched whether the Facility could be located on any sites with existing towers or other tall structures in the area surrounding the Property. As indicated above, there are no towers or other tall structures in the area surrounding the Property suitable to provide the necessary coverage that is provided by the Facility at the Property. Therefore, there are no sites with towers or other tall structures in the area surrounding the Facility to provide the necessary coverage that is provided by the Facility at the Property that would allow the Facility to provide the necessary coverage that is provided by the Facility at the Property.

5. Pursuant to Sections 277-7(A)(1)(c) and (d) of the Town Code, I researched as to whether the proposed Facility could be located on a non-residentially zoned site owned by the Town, or any non-residentially zoned site. It is respectfully submitted that there are no non-residentially zoned sites suitable to provide the necessary coverage. Moreover, the Facility is being placed on a 6 acre wooded Property distant from any residential uses.

6. In accordance with Sections 277-7(A)(1)(e) and (A)(4) of the Town Code, the location of the Facility on the Property is in the best interest of the health, safety and welfare of the Town and its inhabitants.

7. Moreover, the RF Report submitted herewith supports the findings reached herein, that there are not any existing towers or other tall structures on which Verizon Wireless could locate its proposed Facility in order to remedy its existing significant gap in coverage.

Based on the foregoing, the requested approvals for the Facility at the Property should be granted forthwith.

Respectfully submitted,

John Pepe

Signed before me this  $\underline{C+h}$  day of February, 2019

, la

Notary Public

David James Kenny NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW YORK Registration No. 02KE6343903 Qualified in Westchester County Commission Expires June 20, 2020

# Exhibit 5 Environmental Assessment Form

.

.

#### Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

#### **Instructions for Completing Part 1**

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

#### A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:			
New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless Public Utility Personal V	Wireless Facility		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):			
52 Montrose Station Rd, Contlandt, NY 10567			
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):			
The installation of an unmanned Public Utility Personal Wireless Facility including srr appurtenances on a proposed 140' lattice tower and the installation of proposed equi Facility will be accessed via a proposed driveway. The project is being developed to	inment cabinets within a propose	d fenced compound at grade. The	
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: 914-333.	0700	
New York SMSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless	E-Mail: Isnyder@snyderlaw.net		
Address: C/O Snyder & Snyder LLP, 94 White Plains Road			
City/PO: Tarrytown	State: NY	Zip Code: 10591	
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:		
	E-Mail:		
Address:			
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:	
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:		
Bezo Enterprizes LLC	E-Mail:		
Address:			
IO Waters Edge			
City/PO: Rye	State: NY	Zip Code: 10580	

#### **B.** Government Approvals

\*

B. Government Approvals, F assistance.)	unding, or Spon	sorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, ta	ax relief, and any oth	er forms of financial
Government Entity		If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or projected)	
a. City Counsel, Town Board, or Village Board of Trustees				
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commiss	<b>⊠</b> Yes⊡No ion	Planning Board	February 2019	
c. City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Ap	☑Yes□No peals	Zoning Board	February 2019	
d. Other local agencies	Ves No	Building Department (Building Permit)	Upon grant of Planning	and Zoning Approvals
e. County agencies	Yes No			
f. Regional agencies	∐Yes <b>⊠</b> No			
g. State agencies	Yes No.			
h. Federal agencies	Yes No			
i. Coastal Resources. <i>i</i> . Is the project site within a	Coastal Area, or	r the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W	aterway?	Yes ZNo
	<i>ii.</i> Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program?       Yes No <i>iii.</i> Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area?       Yes No			

#### C. Planning and Zoning

C.1. Planning and zoning actions.	
<ul> <li>Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?</li> <li>If Yes, complete sections C, F and G.</li> </ul>	Yes No
If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1	
C.2. Adopted land use plans.	
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located?	ZYes No
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located?	☐Yes <b>Z</b> No
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?)	Yes 2 No
If Yes, identify the plan(s):	
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan,	Yes No
or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan? If Yes, identify the plan(s):	

C.3. Zoning	
<ul> <li>a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance.</li> <li>If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?</li> <li>R-40 (Single Family Residential)</li> </ul>	<b>VYes</b> No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	Z Yes No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes,	Yes No
i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Hendrick Hudson Central School District	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?	
Westchester County Police/NY State Police	
<ul> <li>Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? Montrose Fire District, Contlandt Community Volunteer Ambulance Corps.</li> </ul>	
d. What parks serve the project site?	
Blue Mountain Reservation, Croton Gorge Park, Sprout Brook Park	

## D. Project Details

D.1. Proposed and Potential Development				
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, inc components)? Public Utility Personal Wireless Facility	lustrial, commercial, recreational; if r	mixed, include all		
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?	6+/- acres			
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?	0.151+/- acres			
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned				
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	<u> </u>			
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use?		Yes No		
		miles, housing units,		
<ul> <li>d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?</li> <li>If Yes,</li> <li><i>i</i>. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commer</li> </ul>		Yes ZNo		
<ul> <li>ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?</li> <li>iii. Number of lots proposed?</li></ul>	Maximum	Yes No		
e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases?		Yes		
i. If No, anticipated period of construction:	<u>3+/-</u> months			
ii. If Yes:				
<ul> <li>Total number of phases anticipated</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolit</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Anticipated completion date of final phase</li> <li>Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progress of one phase may</li> </ul>				
	including any contingencies where pr	rogress of one phase may		

f. Does the proje	ct include new resi	dential uses?			Yes
	nbers of units prop	osed.			
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase					
At completion					
of all phases					
g. Does the prop	osed action include	new non-residenti	al construction (incl	uding expansions)?	VYes No
If Yes,			······································		
i. Total number	r of structures	2			
ii. Dimensions (	(in feet) of largest [	proposed structure:	140 height;	+/-15' width; and length	
				square feet	
h. Does the prop	osed action include	construction or oth	ner activities that will	ll result in the impoundment of any	Yes No
	s creation of a wat	er supply, reservoir	; pond, lake, waste I	agoon or other storage?	
If Yes,	e impoundment:				
<i>ii</i> . If a water imp	oundment, the prin	cipal source of the	water:	Ground water Surface water strea	ms Other specify:
iii. If other than y	water, identify the t	ype of impounded/	contained liquids an	d their source.	
in Annroximate	size of the propose	ed impoundment	Volume:	million gallons; surface area:	àcres
v. Dimensions c	of the proposed dan	a or impounding su	ructure:	height; length	
vi. Construction	method/materials	for the proposed da	m or impounding st	ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, con	crete):
D.2. Project Op	arations				
		one or other an	ining or dradging d	uring construction, operations, or both?	Yes
a. Does the propo	general site prenar	any excavation, in ation grading or ir	innig, or dredging, d	or foundations where all excavated	L Les Mino
materials will i		aron, ground or a	ounation of autors	of foundations intote an ensayaree	
If Yes:					
i. What is the pu	irpose of the excav	ation or dredging?	(Sec.	o be removed from the site?	
<ul> <li>Volume</li> </ul>	(specify tons or cu	bic yards):			
Over wh	hat duration of time	?	a an	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	a at the area
m. Describe natu	re and characterist	es of materials to t	e excavated or dreu	ged, and plans to use, manage of dispos	e of ment.
TRATIL AL	the democratic		Palainstan mataniala?		Yes
		or processing of er	cavated materials?		
v. What is the to	otal area to be dred	ged or excavated?		acres	
		worked at any one		acres	
			or dredging?	feet	
vin. Will the exce	avation require blas	sting?			Yes No
IX. Summarize si	le reclamation goal				
				crease in size of, or encroachment	☐ Yes ZNo
into any existi			ch or adjacent area?		
If Yes:			201 J 1 2	, i gi gi gi gi gi gi	
				water index number, wetland map numb	per or geographic
description):					
the second secon					

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placem alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in sq	juare feet or acres:
tii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments?	
If Yes, describe:	Yes No
iv. Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?	Yes No
If Yes:	
<ul> <li>acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:</li> </ul>	
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):	
proposed method of plant removal:	
<ul> <li>if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):</li> </ul>	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?	Yes ZNo
fYes:	
<i>i</i> . Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: gallons/day	Parally 7. Parally 1
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? Yes:	Yes No
<ul> <li>Name of district or service area:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Is the project site in the existing district?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Is expansion of the district needed?</li> </ul>	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
<ul> <li>Do existing lines serve the project site?</li> </ul>	
7. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project?	
Yes:	A Colored Street Street
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	news miles and second methods with the second se
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?	Yes No
Yes	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:	
i. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity:	gallons/minute.
Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	Yes ZNo
Yes:	
<ul> <li>Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: gallons/day</li> <li>Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all</li> </ul>	• V •
approximate volumes or proportions of each):	I components and
	and the state of the
Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	☐Yes ☐No
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used:	
<ul> <li>Name of district:</li> <li>Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Is the project site in the existing district?</li> </ul>	□Yes □No
<ul> <li>Is expansion of the district needed?</li> </ul>	Yes No

· •

<ul> <li>Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?</li> <li>Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? If Yes:</li> </ul>	☐Yes□No ☐Yes□No
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
<ul> <li>iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?</li> <li>If Yes:</li> <li>Applicant/sponsor for new district:</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
<ul> <li>What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?</li> <li>v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spereceiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans):</li> </ul>	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	Yes
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction? If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface) Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent j groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	properties,
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
• Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? iv. Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	
<ul> <li>f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?</li> <li>If Yes, identify:</li> </ul>	☑Yes No
i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
Maintenance vehicle once per month for approximately one hour per visit ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
N/A <i>iii</i> , Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation) Emergency generator to be used in the event of a prolonged power outage	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration. Air Facility Permit, or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	Yes No
If Yes:	
<ul> <li>i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)</li> <li>ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:</li> </ul>	<b>Yes</b> No
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	
<ul> <li>Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)</li> <li>Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Tons/year (short tons) of Pertuborocarbons (PPCs)</li> <li>Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)</li> <li>Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)</li> </ul>	

.

If Yes: <ul> <li>Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):</li> <li><i>i</i>. Estimate methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring):</li> <li><i>i</i>. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as guary or bindfill operations?</li> <li><i>i</i>. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial generation and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):</li> <li><i>i</i>. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial for the proposed site?</li> <li><i>i</i>. The public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within <i>i</i>, guile of the proposed site?</li> <li><i>i</i>. Will the proposed action include approxes to public transportation or accommodations for connections to existing generate substantial generate new or additional demand for generate?</li> <li><i>i</i>. Will the proposed action (fore commerci</li></ul>	h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)?	Yes		
<ul> <li><i>ii.</i> Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring):</li></ul>	If Yes:			
electricity, flaring):	i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):			
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry of HYes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):         j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantialYes_No new demand for transportation facilities or services?         if Yes:         if. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): Morning Evening Weekend         if. Randomly between hours of to         if. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks):         if. Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease         if. Proposed action include any shared use parking?         v. If the proposed action include any shared use parking?         v. If the proposed action include access to public transportation of accommodations for used filtig encess, describe:         vi. Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for connections to existing recess, describe:         vi. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing	ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to g	generate heat or		
quarry or landfill operations?         If Yes:         j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial projects only between hours of	electricity, flaring):			
quarry or landfill operations?         If Yes:         j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial projects only between hours of				
quarry or landfill operations?         If Yes:         j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial generate substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial generate substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial generate substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial generate substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial generate substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial generate substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial generate substantial increase in the present levels or generate substantial generate increase in the present levels or generate substantial generates increases in the present levels or generate substantial generates increases in the present levels or generate substantial generates increases in the present levels or generate substantial generates increases in traffic above present levels or generates substantial generates increases in traffic above present levels or generates substantial generates increases in traffic above present levels or generates substantial generates increases in traffic above present levels or generates increases increases in traffic above present levels or generates increases in traffic above present levels or generates increases in traffic above present levels or generates in traffic above present in trulevels in the proposed action include access	i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as	Yes No		
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial				
new demand for transportation facilities or services?         If Yes:         if When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply):       □ Morning       □ Evening       □ Weekend         □ Randomly between hours ofto      to      to      to         iii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks):	If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):			
new demand for transportation facilities or services?         If Yes:         if When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply):       □ Morning       □ Evening       □ Weekend         □ Randomly between hours ofto      to      to      to         iii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks):				
new demand for transportation facilities or services?         If Yes:         if When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply):       □ Morning       □ Evening       □ Weekend         □ Randomly between hours ofto      to      to      to         iii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks):				
new demand for transportation facilities or services?         If Yes:         if When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply):       □ Morning       □ Evening       □ Weekend         □ Randomly between hours ofto      to      to      to         iii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks):	The MEXAIL All second and the second se			
If Yes:  i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): ☐ Morning ☐ Evening ☐ Weekend ☐ Randomly between hours of to	), will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in trainic above present levels or generate substantial	Yes		
<ul> <li>i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): Morning Evening Weekend Randomly between hours of to in regression of new roads or change in existing access. Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease Weekend Net increase/decrease Yees No</li> <li>iii. Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease Yees No</li> <li>iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?</li> <li>v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe:</li> <li>vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? Yees No</li> <li>viii Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing Yees No</li> <li>wiii Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing Yees No</li> <li>k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand Yees No</li> <li>k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand Yees No</li> <li>k. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?</li></ul>				
□ Randomly between hours oftoto				
<ul> <li><i>ii.</i> For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks):</li></ul>				
<i>iii.</i> Parking spaces:       Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease <i>iv.</i> Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?	E Kandonny between nous of 10	.IN:		
<i>iv</i> . Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?       □Yes□No <i>iv</i> . If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe: <i>vi</i> . Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site?       □Yes□No <i>vii</i> Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric.       □Yes□No <i>vii</i> Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?       No <i>k</i> . Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?       □Yes□No         If Yes: <i>i</i> . Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):       Consolidated Edison. Inc. <i>iii</i> . Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?       □Yes□No         I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. <i>i</i> . During Operations:       NA         • Monday - Friday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       • Saturday:       N/A         • Saturday:       N/A       • Saturday:       N/A	n. Tor commercial activities only, projected number of track trips day and type (e.g., semi tranets and dump truck	s):		
<i>iv</i> . Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?       □Yes□No <i>iv</i> . If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe: <i>vi</i> . Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site?       □Yes□No <i>vii</i> Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric.       □Yes□No <i>vii</i> Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?       No <i>k</i> . Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?       □Yes□No         If Yes: <i>i</i> . Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):       Consolidated Edison. Inc. <i>iii</i> . Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?       □Yes□No         I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. <i>i</i> . During Operations:       NA         • Monday - Friday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       • Saturday:       N/A         • Saturday:       N/A       • Saturday:       N/A				
iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?       □Yes□No         v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe:         vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site?       □Yes□No         viii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric.       □Yes□No         or other alternative fueled vehicles?       Will will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?       □Yes□No         k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?       □Yes□No         If Yes:       i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:       □Yes□No         200 amps. 3 phase service       ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):       □Oresolicated Edison. Inc.         iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?       □Yes□No         I Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.       i. During Operations:       • Monday - Friday:         • Monday - Friday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       • Saturday:       N/A         • Sunday:       N/A       • Sunday:       N/A	iii. Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease			
v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe: vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site?Yes_No viii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electricYes_No viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existingYes_No viii. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? If Yes: if Yes: if Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:		TV as DNo		
vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site?       Yes No         vii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric       Yes No         or other alternative fueled vehicles?       Yes No         viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?       Yes No         k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?       Yes No         If Yes:       .       .         200 amps. 3 phase service       .       .         ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):       .         Consolidated Edison. Inc.       .       .       .         iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?       .       Yes No         1. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.       .       .       .       .         will worday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       .       .       .       .         will anday:       N/A       .       .       .       .       .         will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?       .       .				
<ul> <li>wii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric. Yes No or other alternative fueled vehicles?</li> <li>wiii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?</li> <li>k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:</li> <li>200 amps. 3 phase service</li> </ul> </li> <li>ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):</li> <li><u>Consolidated Edison. Inc.</u></li> <li>iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?</li> <li>I Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.</li> <li>i. During Construction: <ul> <li>Monday - Friday:</li> <li>8 a.m 6 p.m.</li> <li>Saturday:</li> <li>NA</li> <li>Sunday:</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	is the proposed denote molades any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads of change in existing	access, describe.		
<ul> <li>wii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric. Yes No or other alternative fueled vehicles?</li> <li>wiii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?</li> <li>k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:</li> <li>200 amps. 3 phase service</li> </ul> </li> <li>ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):</li> <li><u>Consolidated Edison. Inc.</u></li> <li>iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?</li> <li>I Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.</li> <li>i. During Construction: <ul> <li>Monday - Friday:</li> <li>8 a.m 6 p.m.</li> <li>Saturday:</li> <li>NA</li> <li>Sunday:</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	w. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site?	TYes		
or other alternative fueled vehicles? viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing □Yes□No pedestrian or bicycle routes? k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand □Yes□No for energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: <u>200 amps. 3 phase service</u> ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other): <u>Consolidated Edison. Inc.</u> iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation? I Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction: Monday - Friday: <u>8 a.m 6 p.m.</u> Saturday: <u>N/A</u> N/A N/A N/A N/A	vii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid electric			
<ul> <li>viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?</li> <li>k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:</li></ul></li></ul>	or other alternative fueled vehicles?			
pedestrian or bicycle routes?         k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand or energy?         If Yes:         i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:         200 amps, 3 phase service         ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):         Consolidated Edison. Inc.         iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?         I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.         i. During Construction:         iii. Monday - Friday:         8 a.m 6 p.m.         iii. Sturday:         iii. Nuday:         N/A		TYes No		
<ul> <li>k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand</li></ul>				
for energy? If Yes: <i>i</i> . Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:				
for energy? If Yes: <i>i</i> . Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:				
If Yes:		ZYes No		
<i>i</i> . Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:         200 amps. 3 phase service <i>ii</i> . Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other): <u>Consolidated Edison. Inc.</u> <i>iii</i> . Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?         I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. <i>i</i> . During Construction: <i>iii.</i> Monday - Friday:         8 a.m 6 p.m.         • Monday - Friday:         8 a.m 6 p.m.         • Saturday:         N/A				
200 amps. 3 phase service         ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):         Consolidated Edison. Inc.         iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?         I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.         i. During Construction:       ii. During Operations:         • Monday - Friday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.         • Saturday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.         • Sunday:       N/A				
<ul> <li><i>ii.</i> Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other): <u>Consolidated Edison. Inc.</u> </li> <li><i>iii.</i> Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation? </li> <li>I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. </li> <li><i>i.</i> During Construction: <ul> <li>Monday - Friday:</li> <li>8 a.m 6 p.m.</li> <li>Saturday:</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>Sunday:</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>				
other):       Consolidated Edison. Inc.         iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?       □Yes☑No         1. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.       i. During Construction:       ii. During Operations:         • Monday - Friday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       • Monday - Friday:       N/A         • Saturday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       • Saturday:       N/A         • Sunday:       N/A       • Sunday:       N/A				
Consolidated Edison. Inc.         iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?       □Yes☑No         I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.       i. During Construction:       ii. During Operations:         • Monday - Friday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       • Monday - Friday:       N/A         • Saturday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       • Saturday:       N/A         • Sunday:       N/A       • Sunday:       N/A		ocal utility, or		
<ul> <li>iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?</li> <li>I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.</li> <li>i. During Construction:</li> <li>Monday - Friday:</li> <li>8 a.m 6 p.m.</li> <li>Saturday:</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Sunday:</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>				
1. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.       i. During Construction:       ii. During Operations:         • Monday - Friday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       • Monday - Friday:       N/A         • Saturday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.       • Saturday:       N/A         • Sunday:       N/A       • Sunday:       N/A	Consolidated Edison, Inc.			
i. During Construction:       ii. During Operations:         • Monday - Friday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.         • Saturday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.         • Sunday:       N/A         • Sunday:       N/A	m. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?	Yes No		
i. During Construction:       ii. During Operations:         • Monday - Friday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.         • Saturday:       8 a.m 6 p.m.         • Sunday:       N/A         • Sunday:       N/A				
Monday - Friday: <u>8 a.m 6 p.m.</u> Saturday: <u>8 a.m 6 p.m.</u> Saturday: <u>N/A</u> Sunday: <u>N/A</u> Sunday: <u>N/A</u>				
Saturday: <u>8 a.m 6 p.m.</u> Saturday: <u>N/A</u> Sunday: <u>N/A</u> Sunday: <u>N/A</u>				
Sunday: N/A      Sunday: N/A	Monday - Friday: 8 a.m 6 p.m.     Monday - Friday: N/A			
Holidays: N/A      Holidays: N/A				
	Holidays: N/A      Holidays: N/A			

·w.

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction,	Ves No
operation, or both?	
If yes:	
r. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: Only during construction: machinery starting no earlier than 8 am and ending no later than 6 pm Mon-Sat only	
Only during construction: machinery starting no earlier than 8 am and enoung no later than 9 pm work-bar only	
ii. Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen?	Ves No
Describe: No, despite the removal of a small number of trees, the large surrounding, forested area will act as a noise barrier an	d screen for
construction activities.	
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?	VYes No
If yes:	
i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
One light on a timer (low glare/dark sky compliant)	
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?	Ves No
Describe:	
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day?	Yes No
If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest	Freed, T. and Milling a.
occupied structures:	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons)	Yes No
or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?	
If Yes:	
i. Product(s) to be stored	
<i>ii.</i> Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year)	
iii. Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:	
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides,	Yes 🛛 No
insecticides) during construction or operation?	
If Yes:	
i. Describe proposed treatment(s):	
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	Yes No
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal	Yes ZNo
of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?	
If Yes: <i>i</i> . Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
Construction: tons per(unit of time)	
Operation : tons per (unit of time)	
ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste	:
Construction:	
Operation:	
iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:	
Construction:	
Desire interest	
Operation:	

	s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility? 🛛 🗌 Yes 🛛 No				
	If Yes:				
ħ	Type of management or handling of waste proposed other disposal activities):	f for the site (e.g., recycling o	r transfer station, compositi	ig, landfill, or	
ij.	Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:				
	Tons/month, if transfer or other non-	combustion/thermal treatment	nt, or		
	• Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal				
iii.	If landfill, anticipated site life:	years			
t. W	ill the proposed action at the site involve the comme	rcial generation, treatment, s	torage, or disposal of hazard	lous Yes No	
	vaste?				
If Y					
$\mathbf{F}$	Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	e generated, handled or mana	ged at facility:		
ii.	Generally describe processes or activities involving l	hazardous wastes or constitue	ents:		
22	······································				
112	Const. C. Same the first first of the second state of the	La Maria de Carto de			
ш. іv	Specify amount to be handled or generatedto Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec	ons/monun voling or reuse of hazardous	constituents:		
	reserve any proposals for on site manimization, rec	Jenne of rease of hazardous		(100-11-0-1-0-1-0-0-1-0-0-1-0-0-1-0-0-1-0-0-1-0	
				and a second	
	Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing		lity?	Yes No	
If Y	es: provide name and location of facility:				
IfN	o: describe proposed management of any hazardous	wastes which will not be sen	to a hazardous waste facili	tv:	
				-y -	
E. 8	ite and Setting of Proposed Action				
E.1	. Land uses on and surrounding the project site				
	xisting land uses.				
	Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the	project site.			
٦ ت	Jrban 🔲 Industrial 🔽 Commercial 🔽 Resid	lential (suburban) 🛛 🗹 Rura	l (non-farm)		
Z F	orest 🛛 Agriculture 🗖 Aquatic 👘 Other	r (specify):			
	If mix of uses, generally describe:				
Tore	sts, commercial stables, rural and suburban housing				
1. 7					
b. L	and uses and covertypes on the project site.				
	Land use or	Current	Acreage After	Change	
-	Covertype Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)	
	surfaces	0.608+/-	0.611+/-	+0.003+/-	
_	Forested	1.598+/-	1.595+/-	-0.003+/-	
	Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-				
	agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)	0	0	0	
	Agricultural	Ó	0.	0	
	(includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)	U	U.	ũ	
<b>*</b>	Surface water features	0	0	0	
	(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	U		U	
8	Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)	0	Ó	0	
9	Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	2.752+/-	2.604+/-	148+/-	
	Other				
	Describe: Horse Paddock (HP) /Gravel Driveway (GD)	(HP) 1.114+/-/ (GD) 0	(HP) 1.114+/-/(GD) 0.148+/-	(HP) 0 / (GD) +0.148+/-	

d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site?       [Yes]         i. Identify Pacilities:	c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? <i>i</i> . If Yes: explain:	Yes
fYes: <ul> <li>Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:</li> <li>Dam height:</li> <li>Dam height:</li> <li>Surface area:</li> <li>acres</li> <li>Volume impounded:</li> <li>gallons OR acre-feet</li> <li>Darn's existing hazard classification:</li> <li>If Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>Barry existing hazard classification:</li> <li>Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>If Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>If Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>If a summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>If yes, cite sources/documentation:</li> <li>If yes, cite sources/document constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:</li> <li>If ave hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?</li> <li>Yes:</li> <li>Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:</li> <li>Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?</li> <li>Yes a spills Incidents database:</li> <li>Provide DEC ID number(s):</li></ul>	d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes,	☐ Yes <b>Z</b> No
fYes: <ul> <li>Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:</li> <li>Dam height:</li> <li>Dam height:</li> <li>Surface area:</li> <li>acres</li> <li>Volume impounded:</li> <li>gallons OR acre-feet</li> <li>Darn's existing hazard classification:</li> <li>If Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>Barn's existing hazard classification:</li> <li>If Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>If Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>If Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>If a summarize results of last inspection:</li> <li>If yes, cite sources/documentation:</li> <li>If yes, cite sources/document constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:</li> <li>If ave hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?</li> <li>Yes:</li> <li>Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:</li> <li>If any portion of the site is ted on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Provide DEC ID number(s):</li> <li>Yes - Spills Incidents database:</li> <li>Provide DEC ID number(s):</li> <li>Neither database:</li> <li>If sith</li></ul>		
		Yes
Surface area:		
Volume impounded:gallons OR acre-feet     ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:		
ii. Dam's existing hzard classification: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: iii. Provide stue project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility? if yes: i. Has the facility been formally closed? i. If yes, cite sources/documentation: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iiii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iiii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iiii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: iiii. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: iiii: Otential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? Yes: i. Spills Incident sdatabase Provide DEC ID number(s): iii S the project of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures; iii Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Yes. Divide DEC ID number(s): iii Is the project of database current status of site(s):		
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:          III. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:         III. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility?         IVes:       If yes, cite sources/documentation:         III. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:         III. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:         III. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:         III. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:         III. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:         III. Describe wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?         If Yes:         I. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:         III. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?         Yes:       I san yportion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database?         Yes - Spills Incidents database       Pr		
or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? fYes: i. Has the facility been formally closed? If yes, cite sources/documentation: i. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: i. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: i. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: i. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: i. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: i. Describe wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? fYes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? fYes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site		
or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? fYes: i. Has the facility been formally closed? If yes, cite sources/documentation: i. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: i. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: i. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: i. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: i. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: i. Describe wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? fYes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: i. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? fYes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site		
<i>i</i> . Has the facility been formally closed?       \[ \] Yes \[ \] N <i>i</i> . If yes, cite sources/documentation:       \[ \] <i>ii</i> . Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:       \[ \] <i>iii</i> . Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:       \[ \] <i>iiii</i> . Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:       \[ \] <i>iiii</i> . Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:       \[ \] <i>iiii</i> . Describe wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?       \[ \] Yes \[ \] No treat the waste (s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: <i>iii</i> . Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:       \[ \] <i>iii</i> . Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?       \[ Yes \] <i>i</i> Yes: <i>i</i> Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site mediation database?       \[ Yes \] <i>i</i> Yes - Spills Incidents database       Provide DEC ID number(s):       \[ Yes \] <i>i</i> Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database	f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management fac If Yes:	☐Yes <b>Z</b> No ility?
<ul> <li>iii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:</li> <li>iiii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:</li> <li>iiii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:</li> <li>iiii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:</li> <li>iiii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:</li> <li>iiii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:</li> <li>iiii. Describe wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?</li> <li>iiii. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:</li> <li>iiii. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:</li> <li>iiii. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:</li> <li>iiii. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?</li> <li>iiii. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Provide DEC ID number(s):</li> <li>iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii</li></ul>		Yes No
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	<ul> <li>If yes, cite sources/documentation:</li> </ul>	
Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?     f Yes:         Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:         Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?     f Yes:         Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site         Remediation database? Check all that apply:         Yes – Spills Incidents database         Provide DEC ID number(s):         Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database         If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:         If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:         // Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database?         Ves.// It yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? f Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: . Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? f Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: . If site has been subject of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Provide DEC ID number(s): 	iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? fYes; i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes – Spills Incidents database If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: i. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): i. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	If Yes:	Yes
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? f Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes – Spills Incidents database Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Neither database I f site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: I f site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: I Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Ves. J Yes – Describe current status of site(s):	7. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr	ea:
<ul> <li>i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site</li> <li>Remediation database? Check all that apply:</li> <li>Yes - Spills Incidents database</li> <li>Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database</li> <li>Provide DEC ID number(s):</li> <li>Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database</li> <li>Provide DEC ID number(s):</li> <li>Neither database</li> <li>If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:</li> <li><i>in</i> Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database?</li> <li>Yes Provide DEC ID number(s):</li> <li>Yes Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves V</li></ul>		Yes No
Yes - Spills Incidents database       Provide DEC ID number(s):         Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database       Provide DEC ID number(s):         Neither database       Provide DEC ID number(s):         If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:       Yes         If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:       Yes         If site has been subject of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database?       Yes         If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):       Yes         V. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):       Yes	i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	Yes ZNo
Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database       Provide DEC ID number(s):         Neither database       Neither database         If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:		
ii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Yes Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves V	☐ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
f yes, provide DEC ID number(s):		
f yes, provide DEC ID number(s):		
	iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? f yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	Yes
	tv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	

ę

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?	Yes No	
<ul> <li>If yes, DEC site ID number:</li> <li>Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement):</li> </ul>		
Describe any use limitations:		
<ul> <li>Describe any engineering controls:</li> <li>Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place?</li> <li>Explain:</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No	
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?25 feet		
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	VYes No	
CuD 41.	2 % 4 % 4 %	
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average:		
e. Drainage status of project site soils:  Well Drained:% of site% of site of site% of site of site of site of site of site		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes:       Ø 0-10%:       35 % of site         Ø 10-15%:       65 % of site         I 15% or greater:       % of site		
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? If Yes, describe:	☐ Yes ZNo	
<ul> <li>h. Surface water features.</li> <li>i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?</li> </ul>	<b>Yes</b> No	
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?	* Yes No	
If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.1. <i>iii</i> . Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal,	* ZYes No	
state or local agency? In: For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information: Streams: Name Classification	(*SEE ATTACHED)	
Lakes or Ponds: Name Classification Approximate Size		
<ul> <li>Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)</li> <li>v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired waterbodies?</li> <li>If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:</li> </ul>	Yes ZNo	
The second secon		
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?	Yes No	
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain?	Yes No	
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain?	Yes No	
<ol> <li>Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer?</li> <li>If Yes:         <ul> <li>Name of aquifer:</li> <li>Name of aquifer:</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Yes No	
<ul> <li>Identify the predominant wildlife species that occup species associated</li> </ul>	by or use the project site:	
---	---	------------------------------
with forested uplands		
<ul> <li>n. Does the project site contain a designated significant</li> <li>If Yes:</li> <li>i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, func</li> </ul>	natural community? tion, and basis for designation):	Yes No
<ul> <li>ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:</li> <li>iii. Extent of community/habitat:</li> <li>Currently:</li> <li>Following completion of project as proposed:</li> <li>Gain or loss (indicate + or -).</li> <li>o. Does project site contain any species of plant or anim</li> </ul>	acres acres acres acres acres	Yes No
endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas If Yes: 7. Species and listing (endangered or threatened): <u>According to the USFWS</u> , the project may affect, but not likely to be following the recommended conservation measures from the event there are such bats at the project site.	s identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened sp	Bat. Verizon Wireless will
<ul> <li>p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or special concern?</li> <li>If Yes:</li> </ul>	animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of	<b>YesN</b> o
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed acti	hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing? on may affect that use:	<b>Yes No</b>
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Proje	ct Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a de Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section If Yes, provide county plus district name/number.	on 303 and 304?	Yes
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive <i>i</i> . If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? <i>ii</i> . Source(s) of soil rating(s):		<b>Yes</b> No
<ul> <li>c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it sull Natural Landmark?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>Nature of the natural landmark:</li> <li>Biologica</li> <li>Provide brief description of landmark, including va</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	l Community 🔲 Geological Feature	∐Yes <b>Z</b> No
<ul> <li>d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state li If Yes:</li> <li>i. CEA name: County &amp; State Park Lands</li> <li>ii. Basis for designation: Exceptional or unique character</li> </ul>		* ZYes No (*SEE ATTACHED)
iii. Designating agency and date: Agency:Westchester C	County, Date:1-31-90	

.

i.

<ul> <li>e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commis Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource:</li> <li>i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource:</li> <li>i. Name:</li> <li>iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	* Yes No
<ul> <li>g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Describe possible resource(s):</li> <li>ii. Basis for identification:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Identify resource: <u>Stony Point Battlefield State Historic Site/Taconic State Parkway</u></li> <li>ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail etc.): <u>State Park/Scenic Byway</u></li> <li>iii. Distance between project and resource: <u>-4.25/-4</u> miles.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666?</li> <li>If Yes:         <ul> <li>i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	☐ Yes Ø No
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	Yes No

#### F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.

If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

#### G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name Colleen Connolly, PE, PTOE

Date 02/19/19

Coller Connolly-Signature\_

Title Professional Engineer

PRINT FORM

Page 13 of 13

#### ATTACHMENT

The following information and references are offered to assist in the review of the project.

Q E.2.h (ii) & (iii) – These questions were a predetermined response on the document. Please be advised that the project site neither contains nor is located or adjoined by any wetlands, waterbodies or associated buffers.

Q E.3.d - Was predetermined response on the document indicating the project site's proximity to a Critical Environmental Area ("CEA"). However, the proposed facility is not located within the CEA and based on the size and location of the proposed facility, it will not have a detrimental effect on the heavily wooded park.

Q E.3.f - This question was a predetermined response on the document. Attached hereto as is a SHPO concurrence indicating no impact to Historic Properties.

#### **William Ross**

From:	towernotifyinfo@fcc.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, May 8, 2018 4:15 PM
To:	William Ross
Subject:	Section 106 Notification of SHPO/THPO Concurrence- Email ID #2878774

This is to notify you that the Lead SHPO/THPO has concurred with the following filing: Date of Action: 05/08/2018 Direct Effect: No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE) Visual Effect: No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)

Comment Text: Reviewed by Philip Perazio, NYSHPO.

File Number: 0008181303 TCNS Number: 168257 Purpose: New Tower Submission Packet Notification Date: 7AM EST 04/20/2018 Applicant: Verizon Wireless Consultant: EnviroBusiness, Inc. d/b/a EBI Consulting (EBI 61 18001698) Positive Train Control Filing Subject to Expedited Treatment Under Program Comment: No Site Name: Cortland L - A Site Address: 52 Montrose Station Road Detailed Description of Project: 6118001698 FUZE 5048873 Proposed construction of a new telecommunications self support tower and compound resulting in ground disturbance Site Coordinates: 41-16-9.7 N, 73-53-47.7 W City: Cortlandt **County: WESTCHESTER** State:NY Lead SHPO/THPO: New York State Historic Preservation Office

NOTICE OF FRAUDULENT USE OF SYSTEM, ABUSE OF PASSWORD AND RELATED MISUSE Use of the Section 106 system is intended to facilitate consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and may contain information that is confidential, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable laws. Any person having access to Section 106 information shall use it only for its intended purpose. Appropriate action will be taken with respect to any misuse of the system.

### **EAF Mapper Summary Report**

#### Tuesday, February 19, 2019 12:02 PM



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	No
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.I [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.lii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	No
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h ii [Surface Water Features]	Yes
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	No
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	No
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No

Full Environmental Assessment Form - EAF Mapper Summary Report

E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	Yes
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area - Name]	County & State Park Lands
E.3.d.ii [Critical Environmental Area - Reason]	Exceptional or unique character
E.3.d.iii [Critical Environmental Area - Date and Agency]	Agency:Westchester County, Date:1-31-90
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Exhibit 6 RF Affidavit

# RF Report

## Proposed Cortlandt Public Utility Personal Wireless Communications Facility 52 Montrose Station Rd, Cortlandt, NY 10567



February 20, 2019



C Squared Systems, LLC 65 Dartmouth Drive Auburn, NH 03032 603-644-2800 E-mail: <u>support@csquaredsystems.com</u>

### **Table of Contents**

1.0	Mobile Communications System Design	2
1.1	System Considerations	2
1.2	System Evaluation	2
	Existing Network	
	ng/Proposed Sites In Cortlandt	
3.0	Site Need	8
4.0	Resulting Solution	11
	Tower Search	
6.0	Summary	15
7.0	Qualifications and Statement of Certification	15

## List of Tables

Table 1: Existing Verizon Wireless' Site Inventory Information	. 6
Table 2: Pending/Proposed Sites Information	. 6

## **Table of Figures**

Figure A – 750 MHz Drive Test	. 4
Figure B – 2100 MHz Drive Test	. 5
Figure C – Existing/Pending/Proposed Sites	. 7
Figure D– Existing Coverage 750 MHz	
Figure E – Existing Coverage 2100 MHz	
Figure F– Proposed 750 MHz Coverage within Cortlandt	
Figure G – Proposed 2100 MHz Coverage within Cortlandt	

#### Executive Summary

New York SMSA Limited Partnership dba Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless") is a public utility under the laws of the State of New York and it holds licenses from the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to serve the public within, among other areas, the Town of Cortlandt. Verizon Wireless' objective is to provide seamless reliable wireless coverage to its users.

Verizon Wireless is providing the following information in support of its application to the Town of Cortlandt Planning Board to construct, operate and maintain a public utility personal wireless communications facility ("Facility") at the site located at 52 Montrose Station Rd, Cortlandt, NY 10567 ("Site"). The proposed Facility consists of a 140' telecommunications tower with related equipment. This report addresses Verizon Wireless' need for the proposed Facility due to its significant gap in coverage in the area, and that there are no other suitable existing towers or appropriate structures on which Verizon Wireless could co-locate its antennas and remedy such gap. Included in this report is a summary of the site objectives and radio frequency (RF) coverage plots demonstrating the significant gap in coverage and the predicted coverage that the Facility at the Site will provide in order to remedy the significant gap in coverage.

#### 1.0 Mobile Communications System Design

#### 1.1 System Considerations

Mobile telephony is a method of communication by radio consisting of mobile units, either mounted in vehicles or hand-held, that transmits to and receives signals from fixed base stations (cell sites.) Base stations (cell sites) communicate with mobile wireless devices by sending and receiving signals within a small geographic area. The cell site in turn connects the mobile device to the landline telephone network. The cell sites are designed to cover a small geographic area because mobile telephony devices utilize low power. In addition, the frequencies licensed by the FCC are significantly weakened by obstructions, such as terrain, foliage and buildings which can make the coverage irregular and unreliable. Therefore, it is necessary to locate cell sites at suitable locations and heights in order to provide a seamless and reliable grade of service to the public.

Seamless, reliable coverage and adequate capacity provide users with the ability to successfully originate receive and maintain quality calls throughout a service area. Overlapping coverage is required for users to be able to move throughout the service area and reliably "hand-off" between cells to maintain uninterrupted calls. As a customer making a call in the vicinity of a cell site moves about and begins to move further away from the cell site, the coverage from that cell site becomes weaker. Unlike broadcast radio, as the customer travels, the call is transferred ("handed-off") to an adjacent cell site, if and when that cell site's signal level becomes sufficiently strong. With sufficient overlapping coverage (i.e., when reliable coverage areas are overlapping) and capacity, this process is transparent to the user.

To establish the necessary distribution of cell sites and help ensure that seamless and reliable service, cell sites are strategically located throughout the licensed coverage area based upon, among other things, network efficiency, topography of the land, and the location of other cell sites. Verizon Wireless network, over which it seeks to provide seamless and reliable service, includes licenses in the 700 (a/k/a 750), 850, 1900, and 2100 MHz frequency bands.

When a significant service deficiency exists, the ability for effective communication is diminished and service can seriously deteriorate in that region. In these conditions, customers experience the inability to make calls, lost calls, partial conversations slow data connections and/or inaudible conversation.

#### 1.2 System Evaluation

System design and evaluation is performed primarily through propagation analysis. Propagation models are widely recognized and accepted in the wireless telecommunications industry as accurate and are routinely utilized. Propagation models simulate how radio waves travel through the environment from one point to another. In order to accurately model the behavior of these radio waves, features in the environment such as elevation of the terrain (e.g. hilly or flat) and the presence of land use classifications must be taken into account. Land use classifications include such designations as buildings, water, trees, and others. Both elevation and land use data are required to accurately model the real-world behavior of a network because these factors significantly impact radio signal propagation.

All propagation modeling was performed for the proposed Facility using deciBel Planner RF modeling software, showing existing coverage from such sites within the Town and surrounding area. DeciBel Planner modeling software is an accepted industry standard, predictive modeling tool that identifies areas where reliable coverage will exist, and where it will not. The propagation

modeling also utilized high-resolution (30 meter) elevation and land use data. Since signal propagation characteristics differ through open, forested, residential or business areas, land use classifications are used to account for these differences.

The model used in this evaluation employed a sophisticated diffraction based algorithm based on Fresnel-Kirchoff theory that takes into account the terrain and land use along the path from the transmitter to the receiver. A model was generated by collecting empirical data throughout the region and modifying user defined parameters utilized by the algorithm, such that a high degree of correlation between empirical and predicted results is achieved. When that is complete, the resulting propagation model software can predict coverage levels from a transmitter facility given the specific equipment configuration (antenna model, antenna height, power, etc.) of the site in question. Verizon Wireless also had a drive test performed. To perform the drive test, a specifically equipped vehicle with receivers and electronic test equipment is used to collect the actual existing signal data. Data points are collected and graphically depicted on the map. This methodology is commonly used in the wireless industry to confirm the actual signal data and to confirm the results of the prior propagation maps.

Figures A and B below are maps evidencing the drive test data for the 750 MHz frequency range and the 2100 MHz frequency range, respectively, from the drive test conducted on November 1, 2017, which confirm the significant coverage gap. As seen in Figure B the 2100 frequency range coverage gap area is much larger as the 2100 MHz frequency range is impacted to a greater extent by local factors such as topography, trees and existing structures. Consequently, the gap in coverage for the 2100 MHz frequency range is different than the 750 MHz frequency range. Notwithstanding, reliable coverage is just as important in the 2100 MHz frequency range as it not only provides necessary coverage but is also handles additional capacity due to the larger channel size and ability to handle more users. Additional capacity is important in residential neighborhoods, schools, businesses, and anywhere high speed data is used.



Figure A - 750 MHz Drive Test



Figure B - 2100 MHz Drive Test

#### 2.0 Existing Network

Table 1 below details Verizon Wireless' existing network in and adjoining/adjacent to the Town of Cortlandt. See also the map attached as Figure C.

Site	Address	City	Latitude	Longitude
Buchanan	Con Ed Tower	Buchanan	41.2609	-73.9629
Crugers	138 Albany Post Road	Montrose	41.2408	-73.9313
Peekskill 3	901 Main St	Peekskill	41.2914	-73.9217
Crompond	3800 Crompond Rd	Yorktown	41.2923	-73.8496
Pækskill	Winchester Ave.	Peekskill	41.3016	-73.8955
Dickerson Mountain	260 Croton Avenue	Cortlandt Manor	41.2773	-73.8623
Furnace Woods	Cortlandt	41.2286	-73.9019	
East Cortlandt Manor	1033 Oregon Road	Cortlandt	41.3135	-73.8951
Roe Park	3105 East Main Street	Cortlandt	41.3078	-73.8714
Roa Hook	1 Bay view Drive	Peekskill	41.2983	-73.9461
M ohegan Lake	Woodland Ave	Yorktown	41.3220	-73.8449

Table 1: Existing Verizon Wireless' Site Inventory Information

#### Pending/Proposed Sites In Cortlandt

Table 2 below details, to the best of my knowledge and in consultation with Verizon Wireless' RF department, network sitespecific information obtained regarding Verizon Wireless' 2 year plan in and adjacent to the Town of Cortlandt as of the date hereof. Table 2 includes the Site at issue as well as the other pending sites already proposed and reviewed or in the process of being reviewed by the Town of Cortlandt. The sites listed on Table 2 are not in the vicinity of the Site so the coverage from these pending sites will not remedy the significant gap in coverage in the vicinity of the Site. See also the map attached as Figure C.

Site Address		City	Latitude	Longitude
Buchanan 2 300 Railroad Avenue		Peekskill	41.2740	-73.9379
Mount Airy	1065 Quaker Ridge Road	Cortlandt	41.2160	-73.8601
Albany Post Road SC 2143 Albany Post Road		Cortlandt	41.2528	-73.9315
Cortlandt 52 Montrose Station Road		Cortlandt	41.2694	-73.8966
Croton on Hudson 2	1 Van Wyck Street	Croton on Hudson	41.2082	-73.8878

Table 2: Pending/Proposed Sites Information



Figure C - Existing/Pending/Proposed Sites

#### Existing Coverage in the Vicinity of the Site

The below coverage maps included as Figure D and Figure E, illustrate the existing significant gap in coverage in the vicinity of the Site in the 750 MHz and 2100 MHz frequency ranges, respectively. Taking into account the coverage of the existing sites, Figures D and E show the level of existing coverage in the Cortlandt area which is based on Verizon Wireless' required signal strength for reliable in-building (depicted as green) and in-vehicle (depicted as blue) service ,which in this area are -85 dBm and -95 dBm, respectively. The white areas represent unreliable service areas. As depicted in this propagation maps, there are currently areas in the vicinity of the Site where there is a significant gap in coverage and as a result of such gap, Verizon Wireless does not have reliable service in said area.

#### 3.0 Site Need

Based on the propagation maps and drive test, Verizon Wireless has a significant gap in coverage in Verizon Wireless' services in the vicinity of the Site. This deficiency is evidenced by the inability to adequately and reliably transmit/receive and maintain quality calls and establish and maintain data sessions. Due to the location and height of Verizon Wireless' existing facilities, along with the area topography, much of the area surrounding the Site lacks reliable service.



Figure D- Existing Coverage 750 MHz



Figure E - Existing Coverage 2100 MHz

#### 4.0 Resulting Solution

In order to remedy the existing significant gap in coverage and increase the reliability of the network, Verizon Wireless is proposing the personal wireless communication Facility at 52 Montrose Station Rd, Cortlandt, NY 10567 which will work in conjunction with existing sites and will consist of, among other things:

- a. Twelve (12) panel antennas (the "Antennas") mounted to 140' lattice tower (three sectors of four antennas each), with Antenna centerline height of +/- 137' AGL;
- b. Equipment cabinets and an emergency back-up generator
- c. Four (4) GPS units mounted on the ice canopy; and
- d. All other appurtenances, equipment, devices, cables, conduits and wires related thereto, as more fully described on the site plan submitted herewith.

The coverage maps below included as Figure F and Figure G, illustrate how this proposed Site will remedy the coverage gap in the 750 MHz and the 2100 MHz frequency ranges, respectively, taking into account the coverage of the existing sites.

For example, at 750 MHz frequencies, the proposed communications Facility will provide new in-vehicle coverage to 2.3 square miles of area, 1,887 people and 10.7 miles of roads, including 2.1 miles of main roads. It will also provide in-building coverage to 2.2 square miles of area, and 2,417 people.



Figure F- Proposed 750 MHz Coverage within Cortlandt



Figure G - Proposed 2100 MHz Coverage within Cortlandt

#### 5.0 Tower Search

Pursuant to Section 277-8 of the Town of Cortlandt's Code, a search was conducted regarding existing towers and other appropriate structures in the vicinity of the Site to determine if shared use of such towers or structures was feasible as an alternative to constructing the Facility at the Site. A 4 mile radius search was conducted, and C Squared used antennasearch.com in connection with such search.

The closest towers identified in this search were two towers approximately 1.3 miles north-northwest of the Site. According to antennasearch.com, these two towers are approximately 300 feet apart. They are included in the data based on FAA obstruction studies conducted in 1964 and 1968. Their location is currently a residential development on Hemlock Circle. The residential development was built sometime between 1994 and 2002 (based on Google Earth imagery dates). No towers or other tall structures are visible in the most recent Google Earth imagery or any previous Google Earth imagery. The towers are not noted on the USGS topographic maps. Based on this information, we believe that these towers were never constructed or removed before 1994 (the earliest available Google Earth imagery date) so that these towers are not available for shared use. Moreover, there are not any other appropriate existing tall structures within the 4 mile radius search area, including any existing Consolidated Edison towers that could accommodate Verizon Wireless' Facility and provide the necessary coverage. Listed below as Table 3 are the location of sites containing towers or other tall structures found in an antennsearch.com, other than the sites listed on Tables 1, 2 and 3. The sites listed in Table 3 will not remedy the significant gap in coverage in the vicinity of the Site due to their distance from the Proposed Facility and the intervening terrain and land use.

Site	Structure Municipality	Latitude	Longitude
1	Cortland Manor	41.2983	-73.9461
2	Croton - On Hudson	41.2286	-73.9019
3	Cortlandt Manor	41.3068	-73.9281
4	Peekskill	41.2993	-73.9015
5	Peekskill	41.2904	-73.9212
6	Peekskill	41.3015	-73.8951
7	Cortlandt	41.2333	-73.8995
8	Peekskill	41.2933	-73.9314
9	Verplanck	41.2642	-73.9383
10	Buchanan	41.2423	-73.9290
11	Peekskill	41.3087	-73.9163
12	Buchanan	41.2770	-73.9404
13	Crugers	41.2406	-73.9317
14	Crugers	41.2242	-73.8781
15	Crompond	41.2922	-73.8500
16	Peekskill	41.2876	-73.9037
17	Peekskill	41.2884	-73.9037

Table 3: Database of Nearby Existing Towers

#### 6.0 Summary

There are no existing towers or other appropriate tall structures for Verizon Wireless to utilize that could remedy the significant gap in coverage in the vicinity of the Site. The location of the Site and the proposed minimum height of the Facility were chosen in accordance with Chapter 277 of the Town of Cortlandt code ("Town Wireless Law").

Based on the propagation models and the results of drive test, included herein, significant gaps in coverage currently exist in Verizon Wireless network in the vicinity of the Site. As depicted in the enclosed plots and detailed herein, the proposed Verizon Wireless' Facility at the Site is ideally located to remedy the significant gap in coverage in the vicinity of the Site, and to enable effective connectivity to the rest of Verizon Wireless' existing network. Our analysis confirms that the proposed Facility at the Site will provide reliable wireless coverage to the area and remedy the significant gap in coverage in the vicinity of the Site. Without this proposed Facility at the Site, significant gaps in service will exist (and remain) within this area of Cortlandt.

Based upon the foregoing, the proposed Facility at the Site should be favorably considered by the Town and the application should be granted in all respects.

#### 7.0 Qualifications and Statement of Certification

I am a Radio Frequency Engineer for C Squared Systems, LLC, which has been retained by Verizon Wireless. I have extensive experience in the design and testing of Verizon Wireless' communication facilities as part of its federally licensed network in New York. For example, I have participated in the design and performance of the Verizon Wireless' network in New York, participated in engineering efforts to provide a quality system build-out, evaluated zoning provisions applicable to wireless communication facilities in various communities, testified before local zoning boards in zoning hearings, prepared search areas for new installations, participated in drive tests and reviewed drive test results, participated in site visits, prepared RF designs for proposed installations, reviewed plans and prepared RF packages for zoning hearings, tested and evaluated new sites, and located and corrected system performance problem areas.

I have been involved in Verizon Wireless' design of the proposed wireless communication Facility at the above Site. I have personally visited the area, reviewed coverage data for the proposed installation, and reviewed RF coverage information for Verizon Wireless' existing sites.

I certify to the best of my knowledge that the statements in this report are true and accurate.

Maits & Fand

February 20, 2019 Date

Martin J. Lavin C Squared Systems, LLC



PE OF WORK nned Telecommunications Facility including and Related Equipment with Associated posed Lattice Tower and the Installation nt Cablinets Within a Proposed Fenced mpound at Grade. CT DIRECTORY VERIZON: RF ENGINEER: Ali Ajibori (914) 714-7224 CONSTRUCTION MANAGER: Scott Pinkham (845) 505-0607 Property Specialist: Alex Moss (201) 250-4680 ENGINEERING PROJECT MANAGER: Steve Krug (908) 323-2513		IT IS A DO ATON OF T UNLESS ACTING UNDER IT ENGINEER, TO ALTER TH SIGNATURE AND SEAL NO	ALL AND CALL	ROAD	
(305) 3232313	E	ATTORNEY	,	02/08/19	нw
	-				
		COMMENTS	3	12/20/18	HW
	C	SURVEY UPD/		12/19/18	DP
	В	COMMENTS		02/26/18	HW
OORDINATES	A	ISSUED FOR RE	VIEW	11/13/17	JM
83) AD83) JUND): 435' +/- (NAVD88)	NO.	ISSUE OR REVI	SION	DATE	BY
): 445' +/- (NAVD88) DESCRIPTION		PROJEC		_	
ZONE: R-40 SECTION: 44.7	L	PRELIMINAR SITE F			
		CORTI	LANDT		
		52 MONTROSI CORTLAND WESTCHEST	<b>T, NY</b> 1	0567	
		BLOCK: ZONE	1 LOT: R-40	4	
		SDG PROJEC	T #: 16	VZN071	
		ALE: AS NOTED	DA	TE: 11/13/1	7
		RAWN BY: JM	CHE	CKED BY:	SK
		DRAWING TITLE:			
		COVER PAGE			
		RAWING NO.:	Р	AGE NO.:	
		Z1		1 of 10	



_					-					
	SDERVER DESIGN GROUP SPECIAL SHIP STATES SPECIAL SHIP STATES SPECIAL SHIP STATES SPECIAL SHIP STATES SPECIAL SHIP STATES SPECIAL SHIP STATES SPECIAL STATES SPECIAL STATES SPECIAL STATES SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPECIAL SPEC									
	F									
		4 CENTER WEST NYA		ROAD	<					
	F	MEOT MIN		10004						
1	L									
	E			02/08/19	нw					
	D			12/20/18	нw					
	c	SURVEY UPD/	ATE	12/19/18	DP					
	в			02/26/18	нw					
	A	ISSUED FOR RE	VIEW	11/13/17	Л					
	NO.	ISSUE OR REVI	SION	DATE	BY					
		PROJEC	ודוד ד	LE:						
		PRELIMINAR SITE I	Y ANE							
		CORT	LANDT							
		52 MONTROSE STATION RD CORTLANDT, NY 10567 WESTCHESTER COUNTY								
		BLOCK: 1 LOT: 4 ZONE: R-40								
		SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071								
	sc	SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17								
		RAWN BY: JM	CHE	CKED BY:	SK					
		DRAWIN	G TITL	E:	_					
		RADIU	S MAP							
$\left  \right $		RAWING NO .:	P	AGE NO .:						
		Z2		2 of 10						

	Wooded Area	ZONING ORDINANCE DIS	RICT R-40		
	Extents Of				
	Existing Residence				
	Existing Born	Min Front Yord	50'	+25' *	
		1			
I     Overall STE PLAN     0     07     2.72     4007       I     Overall STE PLAN     0     0.72     0.72     4007       I     Overall STE PLAN     0     0.72     0.72     4007       I     Overall STE PLAN     0     0.72     0     0.72     0.72       I     Overall STE PLAN     0     0.72     0     0.72     0.72     0.72       I     Overall STE PLAN     0     0.72	PROPOSED VERIZON				
		Min. Landscape Covera	ge 60%	±72%	±69%
	PROPOSED VERIZON UTILITIES TO BE	WIRELESS ORDINANCE			
Control to the second of	Shed Shed	(CHAPTER 277)	REQUIRED	EXISTING	PROPOSED
PROPOSED VERSION     VERS					the second se
Property Lie View View View View View View View Vi		Neorest Hobitable Struct	ire N/A	N/A	±340'
Interview of the second s		Proposed Utilities	Instolled	N/A	
I OVERALL SITE PLAN     OUTERALL SITE PLAN     Outer and outer the second outer second outer the second outer the second	Wooded Areas	May Taylor Match		N/4	
I OVERALL SITE PLAN     OUTERALL SITE PLAN     Outer and outer the second outer second outer the second outer the second	30 401 HOUSED ALEUT		3 Carriers		I 14U
Increase in the server of the server	Goe Contraction	Tower Setback From Property Line	1/2 The Height Of The Tower (70')	N/A	±34'-0" **
1     OVERALL SITE PLAN     2 2xd # GALE: 1*8       11117 BOULD: 1*- 280*4"     2xd # SALE: 1** 180*4"     1     SITE FLAN AND PROPERTY LARE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GE DATA SHOWN WAS DERIVED FROM THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY GALE THE ADDITIONAL THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY AND DIVERSE TO CONTROL AND THE STORE TECHNICAL COUNTY OF THE STORE TECHNICAL COUNTY AND DIVERSE TO CONTROL AND THE STORE TECHNICAL COUNTY AND DIVERSE TO CONTROL AND THE STORE TECHNICAL COUNTY AND DIVERSE TO CONTROL AND THE STORE TECHNICAL COUNTY AND DIVERSE TO CONTROL AND THE STORE TECHNICAL COUNTY AND DIVERSE TO CONTROL AND THE STORE TECHNICAL COUNTY AND THE STORE TECHNICAL COUNTY AND DIVERSE TO CONTROL AND THE STORE TECHNICAL COUNTY AND THE STORE THE COUNTY AN	leon Q.		FORMITY		
11:417 SGULE 1** 200-4*     22:04 SGULE 1** 167-4*     1:     STEET LAN AND PROSPETY LIKE DATA BROWN WAS DERIVED FOOL THE WESTICHESTER OUNY OB LANK HOTOGRAPHY. UND THE DATA AND DESIGN THE DESIGN AND THE DATA BROWN WAS DERIVED FOOL THE WESTICHESTER OUNY OB LANK. HOTOGRAPHY. UND THE DATA AND DESIGN THE DESIGN AND THE DATA BROWN WAS DERIVED FOOL THE WESTICHESTER OUNY OB LANK. HOTOGRAPHY. UND THE DATA AND DESIGN THE DESIGN AND THE DATA BROWN WAS DERIVED FOOL THE WESTICHESTER OUNY OB LANK. HOTOGRAPHY. UND THE DATA AND DESIGN THE DESIGN AND THE DATA AND DATA AND DESIGN AND THE DATA AND DATA AND DESIGN AND THE					
11:417 SGULE 1** 200-4*     22:04 SGULE 1** 167-4*     1:     STEET LAN AND PROSPETY LIKE DATA BROWN WAS DERIVED FOOL THE WESTICHESTER OUNY OB LANK HOTOGRAPHY. UND THE DATA AND DESIGN THE DESIGN AND THE DATA BROWN WAS DERIVED FOOL THE WESTICHESTER OUNY OB LANK. HOTOGRAPHY. UND THE DATA AND DESIGN THE DESIGN AND THE DATA BROWN WAS DERIVED FOOL THE WESTICHESTER OUNY OB LANK. HOTOGRAPHY. UND THE DATA AND DESIGN THE DESIGN AND THE DATA BROWN WAS DERIVED FOOL THE WESTICHESTER OUNY OB LANK. HOTOGRAPHY. UND THE DATA AND DESIGN THE DESIGN AND THE DATA AND DATA AND DESIGN AND THE DATA AND DATA AND DESIGN AND THE	1 OVERALL SITE PLAN 0 100' 200'				
<ul> <li>2 DETAILED SITE PLAN</li> <li>2 DETAILED SITE PLAN</li> <li>2 DETAILED SITE PLAN</li> <li>2 15 27</li> <li>4 SITE PLAN NOTES</li> </ul>	11x17 SCALE: 1"= 200'-0" 22x34 SCALE: 1" = 100'-0"	FIELD MEASUREMENTS PER	FORMED BY SCHERER DESI	GN GROUP AND AERIAL PHO	STER COUNTY GIS (LAS /EYING (DATED 07/20/1 DTOGRAPHY.
<ul> <li>Access to THE SITE WILL BE VIA A PROPOSED DRIVENAY. TRAFFIC MEMORY WILL BE RECURRED.</li> <li>SANITARY AND WATER FACILITES ARE NOT REQUIRED. RECOTING THE OWN STELEPHONE ARE DEFINING SERVICES.</li> <li>SANITARY AND WATER FACILITES ARE NOT REQUIRED FROM EXISTING SERVICES.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE UTLITY COMPANIES AND MEET ALL CURRENT UTLITY COMPANIES SHOLD FROM EXISTING SERVICES.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE UTLITY COMPANIES AND MEET ALL CURRENT UTLITY COMPANIES AND MEET ALL CURRENT UTLITY COMPANIES SHOLD FROM EXISTING SERVICES.</li> <li>THE TOTAL SOLD DETURBANCE SHALL NOT EXCEED 1 ACEE. A GENERAL PERMIT ON THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSTRUCT ON CONSTRUCT ON ACTIVITIES IN OT REQUIRED.</li> <li>SOLL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED.</li> <li>SOLL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED.</li> <li>SOLL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED.</li> <li>SOLL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED.</li> <li>SOLL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED.</li> <li>SOLL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED.</li> <li>SOLL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED.</li> <li>SOLL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED.</li> <li>SOLL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED.</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT REQUIRED IN CONTROL THE PROPOSED AREA OF DUSTING AND THE PROPOSED.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT AND ANTIONAL CODES THAT AND ANTIONAL CODES THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT AND ANTIONAL CODES THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT AND ANTIONAL CODES THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT AND ANTIONAL CODES THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT AND ANTIONAL CODES THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT AND ANTIONAL SUBLI</li></ul>	- +20 11 15 CONST (10.	FACILITY, THE FACILITY WIL APPROXIMATELY ONCE PER	NOT BE STAFFED FULL TIM MONTH. THE SITE TECHNIC	E. IT WILL BE VISITED FOR M	AINTENANCE
<ul> <li>2 DETAILED SITE PLAN</li> <li>2 DETAILED SITE PLAN</li> <li>2 DETAILED SITE PLAN</li> <li>2 16' 3'</li> </ul>	FRONT VARD			YAY. TRAFFIC IMPACTS WILL	BE NEGLIGIBLE
<ul> <li>Interview of the second second</li></ul>	The second	UTILITIES THAT ARE REQUI	ED. UTILITIES WILL BE PROV	IDED FROM EXISTING SERV	/ICES.
<ul> <li>THE TOTAL SOLD ISTURBANCE SHALL NOT EXCEED 1 ACRE, A GENERAL PERMIT FOR STORMANDE IS LESS THAN 1 ACRE.</li> <li>THE TOTAL SOLD ISTURBANCE SHALL NOT EXCEED 1 ACRE, A GENERAL PERMIT FOR STORMANDE IS LESS THAN 1 ACRE.</li> <li>PROPOSED FACILITY WILL BE MONITORED 24 HOURS A DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK FROM A REMOTE LOCATION.</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT PROPOSED.</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION.</li> <li>THASH DISPOSAL IS NOT REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION.</li> <li>THASH DISPOSAL IS NOT REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION.</li> <li>THASH DISPOSAL IS NOT REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ABDE BY ALL CURRENT LOCAL, STATE, AND NATIONAL CODES THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT AND ANTENNAS ATTACHED SHALL BE CONFIRMED TO MEET OR EXCEED THE REQUIREMENTS OF INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE, 2015, AS ADOPTED BY NEW YORK AND TA-222-0.</li> <li>DETAILED SITE PLAN</li> <li>18' 32'</li> </ul>	The state of the s	UTILITY COMPANY REQUIRE	MENTS AND SPECIFICATION	S.	LL CURRENT
<ul> <li>Soll EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED SINCE THE PROPOSED AREA OF DISTURBANCE IS LESS THAN 1 ACRE.</li> <li>Soll EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT REQUIRED SINCE THE PROPOSED AREA OF DISTURBANCE IS LESS THAN 1 ACRE.</li> <li>PROPOSED FALLITY WILL BE MONITORED 24 HOURS A DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK FROM A REMOTE LOCATION.</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION.</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION.</li> <li>TRASH DISPOSAL IS NOT REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ABIDE BY ALL CURRENT LOCAL, STATE, AND NATIONAL CODES THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ABIDE BY ALL CURRENT LOCAL, STATE, AND NATIONAL CODES THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT AND ANTENNAS ATTACHED SHALL BE CONFIRMED TO MEET OR EXCEED THE REQUIREMENTS OF INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE, 2015, AS ADOPTED BY NEW YORK AND TIA-222-Q.</li> <li>DETAILED SITE PLAN</li> <li>IS THE PLAN NOTES</li> </ul>	WIN SEE 33	7. THE TOTAL SOIL DISTURBAN	CE SHALL NOT EXCEED 1 A	CRE. A GENERAL PERMIT	
<ul> <li>PROPOSED FACILITY WILL BE MONITORED 24 HOURS A DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK FROM A REMOTE LOCATION.</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT PROPOSED.</li> <li>TRASH DISPOSAL IS NOT REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED INSTALLATION.</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ABIDE BY ALL CURRENT LOCAL, STATE, AND NATIONAL CODES THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROPOSED EQUIPMENT AND ANTENNAS ATTACHED SHALL BE CONFIRMED TO MEET OR EXCEED THE ROWING CODE, 2015, AS ADOPTED BY NEW YORK AND TIA-222-Q.</li> <li><u>2 DETAILED SITE PLAN</u></li> <li><u>16' 32'</u></li> <li><u>4 SITE PLAN NOTES</u></li> </ul>	3 <sup>1</sup>	8. SOIL EROSION AND SEDIME	IT CONTROL PERMIT IS NOT		
2       DETAILED SITE PLAN       0       16'       32'         2       DETAILED SITE PLAN       0       16'       32'					
2       DETAILED SITE PLAN       0       16'       32'         2       DETAILED SITE PLAN       0       16'       32'	Jun Carlo Ca			DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK FROM	A REMOTE LOCATION.
2       DETAILED SITE PLAN       0       16'       32'         2       DETAILED SITE PLAN       0       16'       32'	COMPOSING THE REAL	9. PROPOSED FACILITY WILL E	E MONITORED 24 HOURS A	DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK FROM /	A REMOTE LOCATION.
2     DETAILED SITE PLAN     0     16'     32'	COMPOSING THE TRANSFORMED	9. PROPOSED FACILITY WILL E 10. TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT PR	E MONITORED 24 HOURS A I OPOSED.		
	ET SO TRADUCTION TO THE	<ol> <li>PROPOSED FACILITY WILL E</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT PF</li> <li>TRASH DISPOSAL IS NOT RE</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL A</li> </ol>	E MONITORED 24 HOURS A D DPOSED. QUIRED IN CONNECTION WI	TH THE PROPOSED INSTALL	ATION.
	21-3- COMPOUND TO THE TOMOTOR TO THE TOT TO THE TOT TOT TO THE TOT TOT TO THE	<ol> <li>PROPOSED FACILITY WILL E</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT PF</li> <li>TRASH DISPOSAL IS NOT RE</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL A THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROF MEET OR EXCEED THE REQ</li> </ol>	E MONITORED 24 HOURS A I DPOSED. QUIRED IN CONNECTION WI NIDE BY ALL CURRENT LOCA	TH THE PROPOSED INSTALL L, STATE, AND NATIONAL CO	ATION. DDES E CONFIRMED TO
11x17 SCALE: 1/32"= 1'-0" 22x34 SCALE: 1/16" = 1'-0" 11x17 SCALE: NTS 22x34 SCALE: NTS	the compound to the top of top of the top of to	<ol> <li>PROPOSED FACILITY WILL E</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT PF</li> <li>TRASH DISPOSAL IS NOT RE</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL A THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROF MEET OR EXCEED THE REQ</li> </ol>	E MONITORED 24 HOURS A I DPOSED. QUIRED IN CONNECTION WI NIDE BY ALL CURRENT LOCA	TH THE PROPOSED INSTALL L, STATE, AND NATIONAL CO	ATION. DDES E CONFIRMED TO
	2 DETAILED SITE PLAN	<ol> <li>PROPOSED FACILITY WILL E</li> <li>TOWER LIGHTING IS NOT PF</li> <li>TRASH DISPOSAL IS NOT RE</li> <li>THE CONTRACTOR SHALL A THAT ARE APPLICABLE.</li> <li>THE TOWER WITH ALL PROF MEET OR EXCEED THE REQ NEW YORK AND TIA-222-G.</li> </ol>	E MONITORED 24 HOURS A I DPOSED. QUIRED IN CONNECTION WI NDE BY ALL CURRENT LOCA DSED EQUIPMENT AND ANT IREMENTS OF INTERNATION	TH THE PROPOSED INSTALL L, STATE, AND NATIONAL CO	ATION. DDES E CONFIRMED TO

-	Species of the second of the s									
		ess Ock f	OAD							
E	ATTORNEY		02/08/19	нw						
D	ATTORNEY COMMENTS		12/20/18	нw						
С	SURVEY UPD/	ATE	12/19/18	DP						
в	ATTORNEY COMMENTS		02/26/18	нw						
A	ISSUED FOR RE	VIEW	11/13/17	JM						
NO.	ISSUE OR REVI	SION	DATE	BY						
	PROJEC									
	PRELIMINAR SITE F									
	CORTLANDT 52 MONTROSE STATION RD CORTLANDT, NY 10567 WESTCHESTER COUNTY BLOCK: 1 LOT: 4									
$\vdash$	ZONE: R-40 SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071									
sc	SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17									
	DRAWN BY: JM CHECKED BY: SK									
2	DRAWIN			s						
C	DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:									
	Z3 3 of 10									



2 6	ST Formegar Heiphpion, Ph 808,223,25133 WWW.achererda WWW.achererda Colleen TIS VIOATION OF UNESS ACTING UNDER TH ENGINEER, TO ALTER TH SIGNATURE AND SEAL HO APPL	ALLED HADON ead Builto 2500 Nu Vissea Rex 308.320.25251 Nighgroup.com CONNOLLY ENCINEE DECTION E DIRECTION DE ALLEENS E DOCUMENTA ANY WHICH E DIRECTION DE ALLEENS E DOCUMENTA ANY WHICH	
	WIRELI 4 CENTER		
	E ATTORNEY COMMENTS		нพ
	D ATTORNEY COMMENTS		нw
PROPOSED OWER COMPOUND	C SURVEY UPD	ATE 12/19/18	DP
NCE	B ATTORNEY COMMENTS		нw
POSED VERIZON RRH UNITS 6-CIRCUIT OVP BOX ATTACHED	A ISSUED FOR RE	VIEW 11/13/17	ЈМ
OSED ANTENNA MOUNT	NO. ISSUE OR REVI	SION DATE	BY
POSED VERIZON ANTENNAS D TO PROPOSED ANTENNA MOUNT	PROJEC	T TITLE:	
		Y AND FINAL PLANS	
	CORT	LANDT	
	CORTLAND	E STATION RD T, NY 10567 TER COUNTY	
		1 LOT: 4 : R-40	
	SDG PROJEC	T #: 16VZN071	
	SCALE: AS NOTED	DATE: 11/13/1	7
NOTE	DRAWN BY: JM	CHECKED BY:	SK
NOTE: FACILITY WILL BE MONITORED	DRAWIN	G TITLE:	
REMOTELY AND A TECHNICIAN WILL VISIT ONCE PER MONTH IN CONNECTION WITH THE MAINTENANCE OF THE FACILITY.	COMPOUN	D LAYOUT	
	DRAWING NO .:	PAGE NO.:	

ш



.

PROPOSED VERIZON 8'         PROPOSED VERIZON 8'         B         CHAIN LINK FENCE						
PROPOSED VERIZON 8'     B     ATTORNEY COMMENTS     12/20/18     HV       C     SURVEY UPDATE     12/19/18     DF       B     ATTORNEY COMMENTS     02/26/18     HV       C     SURVEY UPDATE     12/19/18     DF       B     ATTORNEY COMMENTS     02/26/18     HV       A     ISSUED FOR REVISION     DATE     BY       PROJECT TITLE:     PROJECT TITLE:     PRELIMINARY AND FINAL SITE PLANS     BY       CORTLANDT     52 MONTROSE STATION RD CORTLANDT, NY 10567     CORTLANDT       52 MONTROSE STATION RD CORTLANDT, NY 10567     WESTCHESTER COUNTY       BLOCK: 1 LOT: 4     ZONE: R-40       SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071     SCALE: AS NOTED     DATE: 11/13/17       DRAWING TITLE:     ELEVATIONS       DRAWING NO.:     PAGE NO.:	LIGHTNING ROD 140'-0" +/- AGL TOP OF ANTENNAS/ TOP OF LATTICE TOWER 137'-0" +/- AGL	-	CIEDED CONTROLOGY CONTROL CONT	N E I A Hunjee A Galanti A Gal	ADDA ADDA	B
PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE PROJECT # 10/2000 COMMENTS CORTLANDT SZ MONTROSE STATION RD CORTLANDT SZ MONTROSE STATION RD CORTLA		E			02/08/19	нw
PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE pper Grode 0'-0" AGL H45' +/- AMSL)		D			12/20/18	нw
PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE PROJECT #: 16VZN071 SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17 DRAWING THE: PROJECT #: 16VZN071 SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17 DRAWING THE: PROJECT #: 16VZN071 SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17 DRAWING THE: PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE		c	SURVEY UPD	ATE	12/19/18	DP
PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE PROJECT #: 16VZN071 SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17 DRAWING TITLE: PRELIMINARY AND FINAL SITE PLANS CORTLANDT 52 MONTROSE STATION RD CORTLANDT, NY 10567 WESTCHESTER COUNTY BLOCK: 1 LOT: 4 ZONE: R-40 SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071 DRAWING TITLE: ELEVATIONS DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:		в			02/26/18	нw
PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE BLOCK: 1 LOT: 4 ZONE: R-40 SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071 SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17 DRAWIN BY: JM CHECKED BY: SK DRAWING TITLE: ELEVATIONS DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:		A	ISSUED FOR RE	VIEW	11/13/17	ЈМ
PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE PROPOSED VERIZON 8' BLOCK: 1 LOT: 4 ZONE: R-40 SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071 SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17 DRAWIN BY: JM CHECKED BY: SK DRAWING TITLE: ELEVATIONS DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:		NO.	ISSUE OR REVI	SION	DATE	BY
PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE Deper Grode 0'-0" AGL SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071 SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17 DRAWN BY: JM CHECKED BY: SK DRAWING TITLE: ELEVATIONS DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:			PROJEC	T TIT	LE:	
PROPOSED VERIZON 8'         HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE         SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071         SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071         SCALE: AS NOTED         DATE: 11/13/17         DRAWING TITLE:         ELEVATIONS         DRAWING NO.:						
PROPOSED VERIZON 8' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE Proper Grode 0'-0" AGL SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071 SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17 DRAWN BY: JM CHECKED BY: SK DRAWING TITLE: ELEVATIONS DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:			CORTI			
HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE pper Grade O'-O" AGL 145' +/- AMSL) BLOCK: 1 LOT: 4 ZONE: R-40 SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071 SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17 DRAWN BY: JM CHECKED BY: SK DRAWING TITLE: ELEVATIONS DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:	PROPOSED VERIZON 8'		CORTLAND	T, NY '	10567	
opper Grade O'-O" AGL       SCALE: AS NOTED       DATE: 11/13/17         DRAWN BY: JM       CHECKED BY: SK         DRAWING TITLE:       ELEVATIONS         DRAWING NO.:       PAGE NO.:			BLOCK: ZONE	1 LOT: : R-40	4	
DRAWN BY: JM     CHECKED BY: SK       DRAWING TITLE:     ELEVATIONS       DRAWING NO.:     PAGE NO.:			SDG PROJEC	T #: 16	VZN071	
DRAWN BY: JM     CHECKED BY: SK       DRAWING TITLE:     ELEVATIONS       DRAWING NO.:     PAGE NO.:	oper Gra <u>de O'-O" AGL</u> 45' +/- AMSL)	SC	ALE: AS NOTED	DA	TE: 11/13/1	7
ELEVATIONS DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:		0	RAWN BY: JM	CHE	CKED BY:	sк
DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:			DRAWIN	G TITL	E:	
20'			ELEVA	TIONS		
Z5 5 of 10		0	RAWING NO.:	F	AGE NO.:	
	20		Z5		5 of 10	



.

	(	A 900 00 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	CONNOL ELAW FOR ELAW FOR FOR ELAW FOR ELAW FOR ELAW FOR F	R # 087018 R # 087018 R ANY PERSON ON OF A LICENSON ON OF	
	E	ATTORNEY		02/08/19	нw
	D	ATTORNEY		12/20/18	нw
	c	SURVEY UPD/	ATE	12/19/18	DP
	в			02/26/18	нw
	A	ISSUED FOR RE	VIEW	11/13/17	JM
	NO.	ISSUE OR REVI	SION	DATE	BY
	⊢	PROJEC			
.		PRELIMINAR SITE F			_
PS		CORTI 52 MONTROSI			
		CORTLAND WESTCHEST	T, NY 1	10567	
		BLOCK: ZONE	1 LOT: : R-40	4	
CES		SDG PROJEC	T #: 16	VZN071	
Y.	SC	ALE: AS NOTED	DA	TE: 11/13/1	7
Lower Grade O'-O" AGL		RAWN BY: JM		CKED BY:	SK
Lower Grade 0 <u>'-0" AGL</u> (435' +/- AMSL)	$\vdash$	DRAWIN	GTITL	E:	_
	L	ELEVA	TIONS		
20'	0	RAWING NO .:	F	PAGE NO.:	
		Z6		6 of 10	



	_		_		
			Cilling		
raide glare reduction sor stachment provides weatherproof protection around		Si			
plight in outdoor applications a Hood or a	S	CHIERER DESIG	IN GR	OUP	
and glass lens for maximum glare-		NEW			
n om damage and vandalism	117	53 Prontage R	at Huhte		_
luces glars and protects lamp from water	12 × 1102	Hampton, Ph 986 3232513 WWW.Statement COLLEEN	Fax 308	2330685 ppom	2
Other Buy American Act Compliance: RAB values USA manufacturingi Upon request, RAB may be able to manufacture this product to be		IT IS A VIOLATION OF TH UNLESS ACTING UNDER TH ENGINEER, TO ALTER TH SIGNATURE AND SEAL NO	E DAWFO	CANY PERSON, ON OF A LICENS ENT IN ANY WAY	
compliant with the Buy American Act (BAA). Please contact customer service to request a quote for the product to be made BAA compliant.		APPL	ICANT	:	
		4 CENTER WEST NYAG	ess Ock f	OAD	/
	E	ATTORNE) COMMENT		02/08/19	нพ
	D			12/20/18	нw
AB LIGHTING RECT COVER	с	SURVEY UPD	ATE	12/19/18	DP
	В			02/26/18	нw
RAB LIGHTING LIGHT FIXTURE	A	ISSUED FOR RE	VIEW	11/13/17	JM
	NO.	ISSUE OR REVI	SION	DATE	BY
B LIGHTING WEATHERPROOF		PROJEC	T TIT	E:	
NGLE OUTLET 3 HOLE BOX DDEL # B3B		PRELIMINAR SITE I	Y AND		
AB LIGHTING WEATHERPROOF		CORT	LANDT		
Lectronic Timer Switches Eviton Model # 6560mW		52 MONTROS CORTLAND WESTCHEST	T, NY 1	10567	
		BLOCK: ZONE	1 LOT: : R-40	4	
90 DEG. WP, LB		SDG PROJEC	T #: 16	VZN071	
	SC	ALE: AS NOTED	DA	TE: 11/13/1	7
(2) #12 AWG TO CB	0	RAWN BY: JM	CHE	CKED BY:	sк
LATE / LOCATED IN PPC MINI		DRAWIN	G TITL	E:	_
QUIPMENT BASE		DET	AILS		
	Ľ	RAWING NO .:	F	AGE NO .:	
		Z7		7 of 10	



PROPERTY OWNERS LIST:

4

1		Property Address	Owner	Mailing Address		
	16	MONTROSE STATION RD	S4K MAPLE AVE LLC	1106 MAIN ST	PEEKSKILL, NY	
	(reiningela)	MONTROSE STATION RD	FEIN JONATHAN L & KARDOS THERESA E	26 MONTROSE STATION RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
-	2119	MAPLE AVE	PERRY ALAN REDA PATRICIA	2119 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	39	MONTROSE STATION RD	ERRICO MICHAEL & STEPHANIE	39 MONTROSE STATION RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2154	MAPLE AVE	MILLER PATRICIA KOZIOL BRIAN	2154 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
		MAPLE AVE	GHIGLIAZZA PAULA	2169 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2169	WATCH HILL RD	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER BLUE MT RES	148 MARTINE AVE RM 720	WHITE PLAINS, NY	
	181		MAHONEY SHARRON	2139 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2139	MAPLE AVE	HANLEY JOHN & LINDA	20 MONTROSE STATION RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
		MONTROSE STATION RD		1 MONTROSE STATION RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	E AN MEADER	MONTROSE STATION RD	RENZI DAVID M ARTOPE WESTLEY MONTAGUE CLARA M	2094 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2094	MAPLE AVE	Alternative and a second and a se	<ul> <li>constitution - second states - second states - second s</li></ul>	VERPLANCK, NY	
	-	MONTROSE STATION RD	PICCIANO PAZ LIVING TRUST	216 8TH ST, PO BOX 92	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2091	MAPLE AVE		2091 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	A reserve of	MONTROSE STATION RD		34 MONTROSE STATION RD		
4	49	MONTROSE STATION RD	BOYLE FAMILY IRREV TRUST DUBRISINGH M /BOYLE D TRUSTER	49 MONTROSE STATION RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	35	MONTROSE STATION RD	PICCIANO PAZ LIVING TRUST	216 8TH ST, PO BOX 92	VERPLANCK, NY	
	2117	MAPLE AVE	S4K MAPLE AVE LLC	1106 MAIN ST	PEEKSKILL, NY	
<b>*</b>	52	MONTROSE STATION RD	BEZO ENTERPRISES LLC	34 DEARBORN AVENUE	RYE, NY	
	2124	MAPLE AVE	SCHMIDT NANCY	2124 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	24	MONTROSE STATION RD	SEIFERHELD REGINA P C/O RENO	10 SEDGEWICK RD	POUGHKEEPSIE, NY	
	5	MONTROSE STATION RD.	TRUE ROSEMARY	<b>5 MONTROSE STATION RD</b>	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2123	MAPLE AVE	PERRY CHARLES W & MARION L L/E PERRY ALAN	2123 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	0	MAPLE AVE	DEROSA ANTHONY	30A SUNSET HILL ROAD	BETHEL, CT	
	0	MAPLE AVE	TOWN OF CORTLANDT	1 HEADY STREET	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	0	MONTROSE STATION RD	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER	148 MARTINE AVE RM 720	WHITE PLAINS, NY	
	165	FURNACE WOODS RD	PICCIANO ENTERPRISES LLC	216 8TH ST, PO BOX 92	VERPLANCK, NY	
	2177	MAPLE AVE	PALKA RICHARD & MICHELE	2177 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2127	MAPLE AVE	FONTANA JOSEPH C & LORRAINE F	2127 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	since a conservation and	MONTROSE STATION RD	KEMPSKI MICHAEL PUSEY-KEMPSKI DAWN	9 MONTROSE STATION RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
1	310	LAFAYETTE AVE	TRACEY STEVEN J & KATE M	<b>310 LAFAYETTE AVE</b>	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2 -235MA	MONTROSE STATION RD	SALAMON JONATHAN H	32 MONTROSE STATION RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2158	MAPLE AVE	COSTABLE HANNAH L/E COSTABLE JOHN & SEPHEN & PAU	<ul> <li>and a substantial control of the second state of the</li></ul>	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	141	FURNACE WOODS RD	CONGREGATION YESHIVATH OHR HAMEIR	PO BOX 2130	PEEKSKILL, NY	
		MONTROSE STATION RD	S4K MAPLE AVE LLC	1106 MAIN ST	PEEKSKILL, NY	
	advariant	MONTROSE STATION RD	PARENTI PHYLLIS LINDA TRUST VREDENBURGH KARALYN TRSTEE	57 MONTROSE STATION RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	170	FURNACE WOODS RD	SARI JORGE G INGA	170 FURNACE WOODS RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	0	MAPLE AVE	TURNER KIM KUCNY TOMAS	2137 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
		MONTROSE STATION RD	FUERST ROBERT & LINDA	7 MONTROSE STATION RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
-	0	MAPLE AVE	PERRY ALAN W	2091 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	174	FURNACE WOODS RD	BURSZTYN JOAN	174 FURNACE WOODS RD	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2100	MAPLE AVE	ALBERTS SANDRA L	2100 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	173	FURNACE WOODS RD	PICCIANO PAZ T LIVING TRUST	PO BOX 92	VERPLANCK, NY	
		MONTROSE STATION RD	TOWN OF CORTLANDT	1 HEADY ST	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
(P)		and the second s	WHALEN SEAN C NATHANSON ARIEL B	2170 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2170		COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER BLUE MT RES	148 MARTINE AVE RM 720	WHITE PLAINS, NY	
-	181	WATCH HILL RD		2137 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2137			27 FLAX POND WOODS RD.	SETAUKET, NY	
-		MONTROSE STATION RD		of the in	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
L	0	MAPLE AVE	TOWN OF CORTLANDT TATLIAN EDWARD	1 HEADY ST 2146 MAPLE AVE	CORTLANDT MANOR, NY	
	2146					

11x17 SCALE; NTS 22x34 SCALE: NTS

1

_			<i>internet</i>							
	Steparte alt unterfoor Steparte alt unterfoor Stepar									
	L	APPL	ICANT							
		4 CENTER WEST NYAC	Z ESS ROCK F		/					
	F									
	E	ATTORNE		02/08/19	нw					
	D			12/20/18	нw					
	с	SURVEY UPD	ATE	12/19/18	DP					
	в	ATTORNEY		02/26/18	нw					
	A	ISSUED FOR RE	VIEW	11/13/17	ML					
	NO.	ISSUE OR REVI	SION	DATE	BY					
		PROJEC		LE:						
		PRELIMINAR SITE I	Y AND							
		CORT	LANDT							
		52 MONTROSE STATION RD CORTLANDT, NY 10567 WESTCHESTER COUNTY								
		BLOCK: ZONE	1 LOT: : R-40	4						
		SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071								
	-	SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17								
		DRAWN BY: JM		CKED BY:	SK					
		PROPERTY C			-					
		RAWING NO .:	P	AGE NO.:	$\neg$					
-		<b>Z</b> 9		9 of 10						
-										



P

NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE N							
E ATTORNEY COMMENTS 02/08/19	нw						
D ATTORNEY COMMENTS 12/20/18	нw						
C SURVEY UPDATE 12/19/18	DP						
B ATTORNEY 02/26/18 COMMENTS	нw						
A ISSUED FOR REVIEW 11/13/17	јм						
NO. ISSUE OR REVISION DATE	BY						
PROJECT TITLE:							
PRELIMINARY AND FINAL SITE PLANS							
CORTLANDT							
52 MONTROSE STATION RD CORTLANDT, NY 10567 WESTCHESTER COUNTY							
BLOCK: 1 LOT: 4 ZONE: R-40							
SDG PROJECT #: 16VZN071							
SCALE: AS NOTED DATE: 11/13/17							
DRAWN BY: JM CHECKED BY: S	ж						
DRAWING TITLE:							
DRAWING NO.: PAGE NO.:							
DRAWING NO   PAGE NO							